

Mechanisms for side-effect free I/O

Ulrich Neumerkel
TU Wien, Austria

Mechanisms for side-effect free I/O

Ulrich Neumerkel
TU Wien, Austria

Long term goal:

Make the pure, monotonic part of Prolog stronger

Mechanisms for side-effect free I/O

Ulrich Neumerkel
TU Wien, Austria

Long term goal:

Make the pure, monotonic part of Prolog stronger

- + compatible with constraints
- + iterative deepening
- + simpler to model/analyze
- + better reasoning (explanations: slices instead of traces)

Mechanisms for side-effect free I/O

Ulrich Neumerkel
TU Wien, Austria

Long term goal:

Make the pure, monotonic part of Prolog stronger

- + compatible with constraints
- + iterative deepening
- + simpler to model/analyze
- + better reasoning (explanations: slices instead of traces)
- + simpler to teach (GUPU)

Mechanisms for side-effect free I/O

Ulrich Neumerkel
TU Wien, Austria

Long term goal:

Make the pure, monotonic part of Prolog stronger

- + compatible with constraints
- + iterative deepening
- + simpler to model/analyze
- + better reasoning (explanations: slices instead of traces)
- + simpler to teach (GUPU)
- + simpler to test (test for properties)

Mechanisms for side-effect free I/O

Ulrich Neumerkel
TU Wien, Austria

Long term goal:

Make the pure, monotonic part of Prolog stronger

- + compatible with constraints
- + iterative deepening
- + simpler to model/analyze
- + better reasoning (explanations: slices instead of traces)
- + simpler to teach (GUPU)
- + simpler to test (test for properties)
- + more stable systems

Mechanisms for side-effect free I/O

Ulrich Neumerkel
TU Wien, Austria

Long term goal:

Make the pure, monotonic part of Prolog stronger

- + compatible with constraints
- + iterative deepening
- + simpler to model/analyze
- + better reasoning (explanations: slices instead of traces)
- + simpler to teach (GUPU)
- + simpler to test (test for properties)
- + more stable systems
- + more efficient systems

Steps towards side effect free I/O — acknowledgements

(reverse chronological order)

1,

2

Steps towards side effect free I/O — acknowledgements

(reverse chronological order)

- Vitor Santos Costa, YAP — 2009

Steps towards side effect free I/O — acknowledgements

(reverse chronological order)

- Vitor Santos Costa, YAP — 2009
- Jan Wielemaker, SWI — `library(pio)` 2008

Steps towards side effect free I/O — acknowledgements

(reverse chronological order)

- Vitor Santos Costa, YAP — 2009
- Jan Wielemaker, SWI — `library(pio)` 2008
- Mats Carlson, SICStus — `call_cleanup/2` 1997

Steps towards side effect free I/O — acknowledgements

(reverse chronological order)

- Vitor Santos Costa, YAP — 2009
- Jan Wielemaker, SWI — `library(pio)` 2008
- Mats Carlson, SICStus — `call_cleanup/2` 1997
- Roger Scowen, Klaus Däßler *et mul.*, WG 17 — ISO/IEC 13211-1 1995

Steps towards side effect free I/O — acknowledgements

(reverse chronological order)

- Vitor Santos Costa, YAP — 2009
- Jan Wielemaker, SWI — `library(pio)` 2008
- Mats Carlson, SICStus — `call_cleanup/2` 1997
- Roger Scowen, Klaus Däßler *et mul.*, WG 17 — ISO/IEC 13211-1 1995
5 Compliance, 8.11 Stream selection and control

Side-effect free reading

```
phrase_from_file(Phrase, File)
```

```
... --> [] | [_], ... .
```

```
?- phrase_from_file( ( ..., "searchstring", ... ), file).
```

Side-effect free reading

```
phrase_from_file(Phrase, File)
```

```
... --> [] | [_], ... .
```

```
?- phrase_from_file( ( ..., "searchstring", ... ), file).
```

Finer control:

```
phrase_of_from_file(Phrase, Reader, File)
```

```
phrase_from_file(Phrase, File) :-  
    phrase_of_from_file(Phrase, read_pending_input, File).
```

- permits to reuse side-effectful readers like `read/1`
- permits to control buffering on the token-level.

Side-effect free reading — Implementation

```
phrase_of_from_file(Ph, Reader, File) :-  
  setup_call_cleanup(  
    open(File, read, Stream),  
    ( reader_to_lazy_list(Reader, Stream, Xs), phrase(Ph, Xs) ),  
    close(Stream)).
```

```
reader_to_lazy_list(Reader, Stream, Xs) :-  
  stream_property(Stream, position(Pos)),  
  freeze(Xs, step(Reader, Stream, Pos, Xs)).
```

```
step(Reader, Stream, Pos, Xs0) :-  
  set_stream_position(Stream, Pos),  
  ( at_end_of_stream(Stream)  
  -> Xs0 = []  
  ; phrase(call(Reader, Stream), Xs0, Xs),  
    reader_to_lazy_list(Reader, Stream, Xs)  
  ).
```


Fine print of side-effect free reading

- ISO stream control
- coroutining
- resource control
 - DCGs
 - cleanup

Interactions!

ISO I/O: stream control

- side-effectful
- undervalued

`stream_property/2`, `at_end_of_stream/1`, `set_stream_position/2`

- simplifies generic interfaces: only one predicate required
- extensions to more efficient readers straight forward:
explicit vs. implicit blocking

```
step(Reader,Stream, Pos, Xs0) :-  
    set_stream_position(Stream, Pos),  
    ( at_end_of_stream(Stream)  
-> Xs0 = []  
; phrase(call(Reader,Stream), Xs0, Xs),  
  reader_to_lazy_list(Reader,Stream, Xs)  
).
```

coroutining — freeze/2

- simple interface, no general unification
- more complex interfaces cannot be controlled
- we need resource control!

coroutining — freeze/2

- simple interface, no general unification
- more complex interfaces cannot be controlled
- we need resource control!

ISO DCGs

- currently in WG17's queue (Paulo Moura)
 - hide internal representation, avoid dangling streams, via `phrase/2,3`, `call/3`
 - required errors
 - undefined
 - STO, `setof/3`, directives, double opening, errors during side effects
 - implementation dependent
 - + **implementation defined**
 - . implementation specific
 - fixed implementation
 - guarantee steadfastness
- ?- `phrase(a, Xs0, [])` .
- ?- `phrase(a, Xs0, Xs)` , `Xs = []` .

DCGs vs. coroutining

- steadfastness violated

```
?- freeze(Xs0,throw(error)), phrase(a,Xs0,[]).
```

```
?- freeze(Xs0,throw(error)), phrase(a,Xs0,Xs), Xs = [].
```

```
a, [] --> [].
```

Specify (somehow) order of unification.

```
a([_ | A], [_ | A]).
```

In SWI:

```
a([_ | A], Xs) :- Xs = [_ | A].
```

Cleanup mechanism

- currently in WG17's queue
 - Cannot be implemented within 13211-1:1995
 - Increases robustness — e.g. unrelated errors, interrupts
 - Prevents leakage of resources — e.g. connecting to a database
 - Must-have for server processes (but don't use `call_cleanup/2`)
- `setup_call_cleanup(Setup, Goal, Cleanup)`
- respects nondeterminism of `Goal`
 - (Relatively) easy to implement

Current state of adoption:

1. YAP
 2. SWI
 3. SICStus
 4. B-Prolog
 5. XSB
- Hard to specify due to non-functional properties

Further plans

- finish DCG codification
- finish `setup_call_cleanup/3` codification
<http://www.complang.tuwien.ac.at/ulrich/iso-prolog/cleanup>
- adopt `setup_call_cleanup/3` to further systems
!!please contact me!!
- side-effect free output: GC-controlled, currently in testing
- 13211-2 (Modules) compatibility (even finer print)
- I/O on unseekable devices