

---

**Problem 7.1.** (30 points) Consider the following four languages:

- $L_1 = \{\langle M \rangle \mid M \text{ is a TM and } L(M) \subseteq \{00, 11\}\}$
- $L_2 = \{\langle M \rangle \mid M \text{ is a TM and } L(M) = \{00, 11\}\}$
- $L_3 = \{\langle M, q \rangle \mid \text{TM } M \text{ visits state } q \text{ on some input } \}$
- $L_4 = \{\langle M, q \rangle \mid \text{TM } M \text{ visits state } q \text{ on some input within 1000 steps } \}$

Consider the following four mutually exclusive statements about a language  $L$ :

- S1** The language  $L$  is recursive
- S2** The language  $L$  is r.e., but not recursive.
- S3** The language  $L$  is co-r.e., but not recursive.
- S4** The language  $L$  is not r.e., nor co-r.e.

You are asked to determine for each language  $L_1$  to  $L_4$  which one of the statements **S1** to **S4** is true. You need to justify your answers as follows:

- To justify **S1**, give a high-level description of a Turing decider that accepts  $L$ .
- To justify **S2**, give (i) a high-level description of a Turing recognizer that accepts  $L$ , and (ii) a mapping reduction from either  $A_{\text{TM}}$  or  $\overline{E}_{\text{TM}}$  to  $L$ .
- To justify **S3**, give (i) a high-level description of a Turing recognizer that accepts the complement of  $L$  and (ii) a mapping reduction from  $\overline{A}_{\text{TM}}$  or  $E_{\text{TM}}$  to  $L$ .
- To justify **S4**, give (i) a mapping reduction from either  $A_{\text{TM}}$  or  $\overline{E}_{\text{TM}}$  to  $L$  and (ii) a mapping reduction from  $\overline{A}_{\text{TM}}$  or  $E_{\text{TM}}$  to  $L$ .