

Problem 7.1. (30 points) Consider the following four languages:

- $L_1 = \{\langle M \rangle \mid M \text{ is a TM and } L(M) \subseteq \{00, 11\}\}$
- $L_2 = \{\langle M \rangle \mid M \text{ is a TM and } L(M) = \{00, 11\}\}$
- $L_3 = \{\langle M, q \rangle \mid \text{TM } M \text{ visits state } q \text{ on some input } \}$
- $L_4 = \{\langle M, q \rangle \mid \text{TM } M \text{ visits state } q \text{ on some input within 1000 steps } \}$

Consider the following four mutually exclusive statements about a language L :

- S1** The language L is recursive
- S2** The language L is r.e., but not recursive.
- S3** The language L is co-r.e., but not recursive.
- S4** The language L is not r.e., nor co-r.e.

You are asked to determine for each language L_1 to L_4 which one of the statements **S1** to **S4** is true. You need to justify your answers as follows:

- To justify **S1**, give a high-level description of a Turing decider that accepts L .
- To justify **S2**, give (i) a high-level description of a Turing recognizer that accepts L , and (ii) a mapping reduction from either A_{TM} or \overline{E}_{TM} to L .
- To justify **S3**, give (i) a high-level description of a Turing recognizer that accepts the complement of L and (ii) a mapping reduction from \overline{A}_{TM} or E_{TM} to L .
- To justify **S4**, give (i) a mapping reduction from either A_{TM} or \overline{E}_{TM} to L and (ii) a mapping reduction from \overline{A}_{TM} or E_{TM} to L .

Solution:

1. L_1 is co-r.e., but not recursive (**S2**).

- L_1 is co-r.e.

Proof: Here is a Turing Machine that accepts the complement of L_1 , where the complement of L_1 is $\overline{L_1} = \{\langle M \rangle \mid M \text{ is a TM and } L(M) \not\subseteq \{00, 11\}\}$:

On input $\langle M \rangle$,

- Let $f : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \Sigma^*$ be a function that enumerates all words in Σ^* .
- For $j = 0, 1, 2, \dots$,
- For $i = 0$ to j ,
- Simulate M on $f(i)$ for j steps,
- if (M accepts and $f(i) \notin \{00, 10\}$) then ACCEPT.

- L_1 is not recursive.

Proof: $\overline{A}_{\text{TM}} \leq_m L_1$.

Given $\langle M, w \rangle$ construct $\langle M' \rangle$ such that $\langle M, w \rangle \in \overline{A}_{\text{TM}}$ iff $\langle M' \rangle \in L_1$.

That is, $w \notin L(M)$ iff M' accepts at most the words $\{00, 11\}$. M' behaves as follows:

On input x ,

- If $x = 00$ or $x = 11$ then ACCEPT.
- Else if $x = 111$ then,
 - Simulate M on w . If M accepts then ACCEPT.
 - Otherwise REJECT.

We need to prove that $w \notin L(M)$ iff M' accepts (at most) the words $\{00, 11\}$.

– ‘ \Rightarrow ’

If $w \notin L(M)$ the language of M' is $\{00, 11\}$ by construction, so M' accepts exactly the words $\{00, 11\}$. Thus, $L(M') = \{00, 11\}$ and $M' \in L_1$.

– ‘ \Leftarrow ’

Proving this is equivalent with proving that if $w \in L(M)$ then M' accepts a word that is not in $\{00, 11\}$. If $w \in L(M)$ the language of M' is $\{00, 11, 111\}$ by construction. So M' also accepts the word $111 \notin \{00, 11\}$, and therefore $M' \notin L_1$.

2. L_2 is not r.e, nor co-r.e. (S4)

- L_2 is not r.e.

Proof: $\overline{A}_{\text{TM}} \leq_m L_2$.

Given $\langle M, w \rangle$ construct $\langle M' \rangle$ such that $\langle M, w \rangle \in \overline{A}_{\text{TM}}$ iff $\langle M' \rangle \in L_2$.

That is, $w \notin L(M)$ iff M' accepts exactly the two words $\{00, 11\}$. M' behaves as follows:

On input x ,

- If $x = 00$ or $x = 11$ then ACCEPT.
- Else if $x = 111$ then,
 - Simulate M on w . If M accepts then ACCEPT.
 - Otherwise REJECT.

We need to prove that $w \notin L(M)$ iff M' accepts exactly the two words $\{00, 11\}$.

– ‘ \Rightarrow ’

If $w \notin L(M)$ the language of M' is $\{00, 11\}$ by construction, so M' accepts exactly $\{00, 11\}$.

– ‘ \Leftarrow ’

If $w \in L(M)$ the language of M' is $\{00, 11, 111\}$ by construction. So M' accepts the three words $\{00, 11, 111\}$, and not only the two words $\{00, 11\}$.

- L_2 is not co-r.e.

Proof: $A_{\text{TM}} \leq_m L_2$.

Given $\langle M, w \rangle$ construct $\langle M' \rangle$ such that $\langle M, w \rangle \in A_{\text{TM}}$ iff $\langle M' \rangle \in L_2$.

That is, $w \in L(M)$ iff M' accepts exactly the two words $\{00, 11\}$. M' behaves as follows:

On input x ,

- If $x = 00$ then ACCEPT.
- Else if $x = 11$ then

- Simulate M on w . If M accepts then ACCEPT.
- Otherwise REJECT.

We need to prove that $w \in L(M)$ iff M' accepts exactly the two words $\{00, 11\}$.

- ‘ \Rightarrow ’
If $w \in L(M)$ the language of M' is $\{00, 11\}$ by construction, so M' accepts exactly the two words $\{00, 11\}$.
- ‘ \Leftarrow ’
If $w \notin L(M)$ the language of M' is $\{00\}$ by construction, so M' accepts only the word 00, and not both words 00 and 11.

3. L_3 is r.e., but not recursive. (S2)

- L_3 is r.e.

Proof: Here is a Turing Machine that accepts L_3

On input $\langle M, q \rangle$

- Let $f : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \Sigma^*$ be a function that enumerates all words in Σ^* .
- For all $j = 0, 1, 2, \dots$,
 - For all $i = 0$ to j ,
 - Simulate M on $f(i)$ for j steps,
 - if M has visited q then ACCEPT.
 - otherwise continue.

- L_3 is not recursive.

Proof: $\overline{E}_{\text{TM}} \leq_m L_3$.

Given $\langle M \rangle$ construct $\langle M', q' \rangle$ such that $\langle M \rangle \in \overline{E}_{\text{TM}}$ iff $\langle M', q' \rangle \in L_3$.

That is, $L(M)$ is not empty iff M' visits q' on some input. We choose $M' = M$ and $q' = q_a$, where q_a is the accepting state of M .

We need to prove that $L(M)$ is not empty iff M' visits q' on some input.

- ‘ \Rightarrow ’ Let $w \in L(M)$. This word exists as $L(M)$ is not empty. When running w on M' the state q' will be visited as q' is the accepting state of M' .
- ‘ \Leftarrow ’ The word on which M' visits q' is accepted so $L(M')$ is not empty. As $M' = M$, it follows that $L(M)$ is not empty.

4. L_4 is recursive. (S1)

Proof: Here is a Turing Decider that accepts L_4

On input: $\langle M, q \rangle$

- Let $f : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \Sigma^*$ be a function that enumerates all words in Σ^* of length at most 1000.
- For $i = 0, 1, \dots$,
 - Simulate M on $f(i)$ for 1000 steps,
 - if M visits q then ACCEPT.
 - otherwise continue.
- REJECT.