MAINTAINING XML DATA INTEGRITY IN PROGRAMS AN ABSTRACT DATATYPE APPROACH Patrick Michel





Language Level

Language Support

Language Level	Language Support
XML	Java + DOM / SAX

Language Level	Language Support
XML	Java + DOM / SAX
XML + XML Schema	Java + JAXB / XJ

Language Level	Language Support
XML	Java + DOM / SAX
XML + XML Schema	Java + JAXB / XJ
XML + Relax NG	XDuce

Language Level	Language Support
XML	Java + DOM / SAX
XML + XML Schema	Java + JAXB / XJ
XML + Relax NG	XDuce
XML + Schematron / DSD	???

Language Level	Language Support
XML	Java + DOM / SAX
XML + XML Schema	Java + JAXB / XJ
XML + Relax NG	XDuce
XML + Schematron / DSD	???
Constrained XML (Structure + Integrity)	Java + Abstract Datatype (Atomic Procedures)

Schema:

}

```
packetheader {
  capacity { INT [ sum(//kind/count) \leq . ]  } &
  kind * {
    count { INT [ . > 0 ]  }
  }
```

Schema:

```
packetheader {
    capacity { INT [ sum(//kind/count) ≤ . ] } &
    kind * {
        count { INT [ . > 0 ] }
    }
}
```

Procedure:

```
add(ident k, int amount) {
   assume amount > 0;
   if not //kind[k] then
        new //kind[k];
        new //kind[k]/count;
        //kind[k]/count := 0
   fi
        //kind[k]/count := //kind[k]/count + amount
}
```

Schema:

```
packetheader {
    capacity { INT [ sum(//kind/count) ≤ . ] } &
    kind * {
        count { INT [ . > 0 ] }
    }
}
```

Procedure:

```
add(ident k, int amount) {
    assume amount > 0;
    if not //kind[k] then
        new //kind[k];
        new //kind[k]/count;
        //kind[k]/count := 0
    fi
        //kind[k]/count := //kind[k]/count + amount
}
```

Generated Code:

// Preconditions: // - AssumptionException // amount > 0 // - CapacityException // sum (//kind/count) + amount <= //capacity</pre>

Packetheader add(Ident k, Integer amount) { ... }

Schema:

```
packetheader {
    capacity { INT [ sum(//kind/count) ≤ . ] } &
    kind * {
        count { INT [ . > 0 ] }
    }
}
```

Procedure:

```
add(ident k, int amount) {
    assume amount > 0;
    if not //kind[k] then
        new //kind[k];
        new //kind[k]/count;
        //kind[k]/count := 0
    fi
        //kind[k]/count := //kind[k]/count + amount
}
```

Generated Code:

```
// Preconditions:
// - AssumptionException
// amount > 0
// - CapacityException
// sum (//kind/count) + amount <= //capacity
Packetheader add(Ident k, Integer amount) { ... }
```

```
Java Code:
```

```
void pack(List<Ident> items) {
  Packetheader cur = new Packetheader(42);
  for(Ident item : items) {
    try { cur.add(item, 1); }
    catch(CapacityException e) {
      sendPacket(cur);
      cur = new Packetheader(42).add(item, 1);
    }
  }
  sendPacket(cur);
}
```

- * Write access only through interface procedures
 - * Encapsulate alien aspects of tree manipulation.
 * Analyze procedures to generate preconditions.

- * Write access only through interface procedures
 - * Encapsulate alien aspects of tree manipulation.
 * Analyze procedures to generate preconditions.
- * Domain experts must be able to write both
 - * Schemata and
 - * Atomic Procedures

- * Write access only through interface procedures
 - * Encapsulate alien aspects of tree manipulation.* Analyze procedures to generate preconditions.
- * Domain experts must be able to write both
 - * Schemata and
 - * Atomic Procedures
- * The rest has to be automated:
 - * Code generation (Java library)
 - * Weakest precondition generation
 - * Simplification to minimal incremental check

value multisets
$$V^* ::= \{V\} | V^* \cup V^* | D(P^*) | all | v_m$$

path multisets $P^* ::= \{P\} | P^* \cup P^* | P^*/L[V^*]$
 $| \cdot | P^*/.. | P^*/.L | P^*//L[V^*]$

formulas
$$G ::= \forall v_I.G \mid F$$

 $F ::= \text{false} \mid F \land F \mid F \lor F \mid \neg F$
 $\mid \alpha = \alpha \mid Z < Z \mid P \in D$
types $T ::= INT \mid ID \mid STR \mid CLX \mid \text{typeOf}(V)$

labels $L ::= c_L$ paths $P ::= \text{root} \mid P/L[I] \mid \text{cast}_P(P^*)$ documents $D ::= \text{blank} \mid D[P \to V] \mid D[P \to] \mid \$$

value multisets $V^* ::= \{V\} | V^* \cup V^* | D(P^*) | all | v_m$ path multisets $P^* ::= \{P\} | P^* \cup P^* | P^*/L[V^*]$ $| \cdot | P^*/.. | P^*/.L | P^*//L[V^*]$

> formulas $G ::= \forall v_I.G \mid F$ $F ::= \text{false} \mid F \land F \mid F \lor F \mid \neg F$ $\mid \alpha = \alpha \mid Z < Z \mid P \in D$ types $T ::= INT \mid ID \mid STR \mid CLX \mid \text{typeOf}(V)$

Example Paths:

/packetheader /packetheader/capacity /packetheader/kind[x] /packetheader/kind[x]/count

labels $L ::= c_L$ paths $P ::= \text{root} \mid P/L[I] \mid \text{cast}_P(P^*)$ documents $D ::= \text{blank} \mid D[P \to V] \mid D[P \to] \mid \$$

value multisets $V^* ::= \{V\} | V^* \cup V^* | D(P^*) | all | v_m$ path multisets $P^* ::= \{P\} | P^* \cup P^* | P^*/L[V^*]$ $| \cdot | P^*/.. | P^*/.L | P^*//L[V^*]$

> formulas $G ::= \forall v_I.G \mid F$ $F ::= \text{false} \mid F \land F \mid F \lor F \mid \neg F$ $\mid \alpha = \alpha \mid Z < Z \mid P \in D$ types $T ::= INT \mid ID \mid STR \mid CLX \mid \text{typeOf}(V)$

Example Paths:

/packetheader /packetheader/capacity /packetheader/kind[x] /packetheader/kind[x]/count

Derived Constraints, e.g.:

 $orall x. / packetheader/kind[x]/count \in \$ \rightarrow / packetheader/kind[x] \in \$$ $orall x. / packetheader/kind[x]/count \in \$ \rightarrow$ typeOf(\$(/packetheader/kind[x]/count))= INT

```
Schema:
inventory {
  time { INT [. >= 0] },
  capacity { INT [. > 0] },
  kind * { size { INT [. > 0] [. <= //capacity] }}
  item * {
    since { INT [. >= 0] [. <= //time] },
    kindref { ID [ //kind[.] ] }
  },
  [ ./capacity >= sum (./kind[./item/kindref]/size) ]
}
```

Procedure:

```
changeKind(ident id, ident kind) {
   set //item[id]/kindref kind;
}
```

Preconditions:

- 1) /inventory/item[id]/kindref
- 2) /inventory/kind[kind]
- 3) sum (/inventory/kind[/inventory/item*/kindref]/size)
 - + /inventory/kind[kind]/size
 - /inventory/kind[/inventory/item[id]/kindref]/size
 - <= /inventory/capacity

Summary

- * Support for XML + integrity constraints in programs.
- * XML data as abstract datatype:
 - * With an interface of atomic procedures.
 - * Automatically derive minimal preconditions.
 - * Automatically generated library.
- * Domain experts define schemata and procedures.
 - * They are able to read and understand the preconditions.* They can react to violations of constraints as they happen.