A Sound, Complete and Usable Hoare-Style Logic for a Sequential Java Subset

Progress Report

Christoph Feller

8.10.2009

▲□▶ ▲圖▶ ★ 国▶ ★ 国▶ - 国 - のへで

Overview

- 1. Motivation
- 2. Reached goals
- 3. Technical details
- 4. Conclusion and Future Work

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 の�?

Motivation

Proofing a program logic sound and complete is not a new idea.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 の�?

Then why do it?

- Preparation for modular program logic
- We want to have a usable logic

Reached goals

Definitions:

- AST of Java Subset Java-KE
- Notion of welltyped states and a big-step semantic

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

Logic rules

Reached goals

Theorems:

▶ Type safety of *Java-KE*:

 $S :: pp \rightarrow SQ \land pp_in_prog \ pp \Rightarrow wtr \ SQ \ pp$

Soundness of the logic rules:

 $\begin{array}{l} A \vdash \{P\}pp\{Q\} \land pp_in_prog \ pp \\ \Rightarrow \quad \forall N.((\forall tr \in A.tr_rsem \ tr \ N \land \\ \forall LS \ SQ.P \ LS \land S \ :: \ pp - N \rightarrow SQ) \Rightarrow Q \ LSQ) \end{array}$

Definitions and theorems all in Isabelle/HOL

Old invocation rule

$$\begin{array}{ll} \mathsf{\Gamma}, A \vdash \{\mathsf{Normal}\, P\} & \forall a. \mathsf{\Gamma}, A \vdash \{Q \leftarrow \mathsf{val}\, a\} args \Rightarrow \{R \; a\} \\ & \forall a \, vs \, D \; I. \; \mathsf{\Gamma}, A \vdash \{(R \; a \leftarrow \mathsf{Vals}\, vs \; \land \; . \\ & (\lambda(x, s). D = \mathsf{target} \; mode \; s \; a \; md \; \land \; I = \mathsf{locals}\, s); \; . \\ & \mathsf{init_lvars}\, \mathsf{\Gamma}D(mn, pTs) mode \; a \; vs) \; \land \; . \\ & (\lambda \sigma. \; \mathsf{normal}\, \sigma \longrightarrow \mathsf{\Gamma} \vdash mode \; \rightarrow \; D \; \preceq \; t) \} \\ & \mathsf{Methd}\, D(mn, pTs) - \succ \{\mathsf{set_lvars}\, I.; \; S\} \end{array}$$

 $\overline{[\Gamma, A \vdash \{\text{Normal } P\}\{t, md, mode\}e..mn(\{pTs\}args) - \succ \{S\}}$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 の�?

New invocation rule

$$stypv([n]x := y.m(e)) \ y = Some(RefT \ rid)$$
$$A \vdash \{P\} VirMeth \ m \ rid\{Q\}$$

$$\begin{array}{ll} A \vdash & \{(\lambda L \, S \, S[y]_{v} \neq \textit{Null} \land \\ & P \, L \, (S[\textit{this} \leftarrow S[y]_{v}]_{v}[\textit{par} \leftarrow S[e]_{e}]_{v}))\} \\ & Stmnt([n]_{x} := y.m(e)) \, \{Q[x/res]_{vv}\} \end{array}$$

Every statement in our AST is unique.

We can get the static type of a variable just by supplying the relevant statement.

Example:

$$stypv([n]x = y.m(e)) y = RefT Object$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 の�?

Conclusion and Future Work

Conclusion

- Usable logic rules are possible
- ▶ There is room for improvement

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

Future Work

Completeness is missing