# Computing and Visualizing Closure Objects using Relation Algebra and $\operatorname{ReLVIEW}$

#### Rudolf Berghammer and Bernd Braßel

Christian-Albrechts-University of Kiel

Maria Taferl, October 12-14, 2009

#### Motivation

Closure systems and closure operations play an important role in both mathematics and computer science.

There are a number of concepts which are isomorphic to them. We refer to all such concepts as closure objects.

In this work we develop relation-algebraic algorithms

- to recognize several classes of closure objects,
- to compute the complete lattices they constitute and
- to transform any of these closure objects into another,

which can directly be translated into the language of the specific purpose computer algebra system  $\operatorname{ReLVIEW}$ .

We demonstrate that the system is well suited for computing and visualizing closure objects and their complete lattices.

# **Closure Objects**

Closure objects base on a (finite) complete lattice  $(X, \leq)$ . The most important ones are:

- Closure systems: Subsets of X which contain the greatest element and are closed under (binary) greatest lower bounds.
- Closure operations: Functions on X, which are extensive, monotone and idempotent.
- Full implicational systems: Relations → on X, which are transitive, a super relation of ≥ and fulfill

$$x \to y, u \to v \Rightarrow x \sqcup u \to y \sqcup v$$

• Join congruences Equivalence relations  $\equiv$  on X, which fulfill

$$x \equiv y \Rightarrow x \sqcup z \equiv y \sqcup z$$

Further examples and specializations: Sperner villages, dependency relations and topologies (if  $(X, \leq)$  is a powerset lattice).

Berghammer and Braßel (CAU Kiel)

#### Relation Algebra

#### Notation

• R is a relation with domain X and range Y:

$$R: X \leftrightarrow Y$$

 $X \leftrightarrow Y$  is the type of R.

• Instead of  $(x, y) \in R$  we use Boolean matrix notation:

 $R_{x,y}$ 

#### Signature of relation algebra

- Constants: O, L, I.
- Operations:  $R \cup S, R \cap S, R S, \overline{R}, R^{\mathsf{T}}$ .
- Tests:  $R \subseteq S, R = S$ .

# Modelling Sets with Relations

#### Row-constant Relations

A relation  $R: X \leftrightarrow Y$  is row-constant if R = R L.

#### Vectors

For row-constant relations the range is irrelevant. Therefore, the normal case is  $v : X \leftrightarrow 1$ , where  $1 := \{\bot\}$  is a singleton set. Then we write  $v_x$  instead of  $v_{x,\bot}$ .

#### Vector-Representations of Sets

Given  $v : X \leftrightarrow 1$ , we define for subsets Y of X:

$$\begin{array}{rcl} \nu \text{ represents } Y & \Leftrightarrow & Y = \{x \in X : v_x\} \\ & \Leftrightarrow & \forall \, x \in X : x \in Y \leftrightarrow v_x \end{array}$$

#### The Relation-Algebraic Tool RELVIEW



# The Running Example

A Partial Order

Hasse diagram of a partial order visualized as  $\operatorname{RELVIEW}$  graph



For a partial order  $R: X \leftrightarrow X$  we often write  $x \leq y$  for  $R_{x,y}$ .

# Computing all Closure Systems

Specification Closure System (finite case)  $S \subseteq X$  is closure system iff  $\top \in S$  and  $a, b \in S$  imply  $a \sqcap b \in S$ . Computation of all Closure Systems of a partial order R:

 $\operatorname{cls}(R) := \operatorname{\mathsf{M}}\operatorname{inj}((\operatorname{gel}(R, \mathsf{L})^{\mathsf{T}}\mathsf{M} \cap \overline{\mathsf{L}(\pi\mathsf{M} \cap \rho\mathsf{M} \cap \overline{\operatorname{Inf}(R)\mathsf{M}})})^{\mathsf{T}})^{\mathsf{T}} : X \leftrightarrow \mathfrak{S}$ 

#### The 24 Closure Systems of the Example Order

Each system is shown as a column of a Boolean matrix.



# Computing all Closure Systems

Specification Closure System (finite case)  $S \subseteq X$  is closure system iff  $\top \in S$  and  $a, b \in S$  imply  $a \sqcap b \in S$ . Computation of all Closure Systems of a partial order R:

 $\operatorname{cls}(R) := \operatorname{\mathsf{M}}\operatorname{inj}((\operatorname{gel}(R, \mathsf{L})^{\mathsf{T}}\mathsf{M} \cap \overline{\mathsf{L}(\pi\mathsf{M} \cap \rho\mathsf{M} \cap \overline{\operatorname{Inf}(R)\mathsf{M}})})^{\mathsf{T}})^{\mathsf{T}} : X \leftrightarrow \mathfrak{S}$ 

#### The 24 Closure Systems of the Example Order

Each system is shown as a column of a Boolean matrix.





From Closure System to Closure Operation C

$$\begin{array}{c|c} x \leq y \Rightarrow C(x) \leq C(y) \\ C \subseteq R \end{array} \begin{vmatrix} x \leq C(x) \\ R \subseteq CR \ C^{\mathsf{T}} \end{vmatrix} \begin{array}{c} C(C(x)) = C(x) \\ CC \subseteq C \end{vmatrix}$$

Four of the 24 closure operations and computation from a closure system s









 $\operatorname{ClsToClo}(s) := \operatorname{glb}(R, sL \cap R^{\mathsf{T}})^{\mathsf{T}} : X \leftrightarrow X$ 



Berghammer and Braßel (CAU Kiel)

KP 2009 10 / 18

# **Closure Operations**



**Closure Operation** 



# **Closure Operations**



Closure Operation



Corresponding Closure System



#### Full Implicational System $\rightarrow$ (Finite Case)

**1** if 
$$A \to B, B \to C$$
 then  $A \to C$  $FF \subseteq F$ **2** if  $A \supseteq B$  then  $A \to B$  $R^T \subseteq F$ **3** if  $A \to B, C \to D$  then  $A \cup C \to B \cup D$  $F \parallel F \subseteq \operatorname{Sup}(R) F \operatorname{Sup}(R)^T$ 

#### Computation of full implicational system from closure operation



#### $\operatorname{CloToFis}(C,R) = CR^{\mathsf{T}} : X \leftrightarrow X$









Berghammer and Braßel (CAU Kiel)

#### Closure Objects and RELVIEW

# Join-Congruence J

- J is equivalence relation
- 2 if  $J_{x,y}$  then  $J_{x \sqcup z, y \sqcup z}$

$$I \subseteq J \qquad J = J^{\mathsf{T}} \qquad JJ \subseteq J$$
$$J \parallel I \subseteq \operatorname{Sup}(R) J \operatorname{Sup}(R)^{\mathsf{T}}$$

#### Computation of join congruences from closure operations.









#### $\operatorname{CloToJc}(\mathcal{C}) = \mathcal{C}\mathcal{C}^{\mathsf{T}} : X \leftrightarrow X$







-00400							
1	Γ						1
2							
3							
4							
5							
6							

# Recognizing Closure Operations

A Function C is a Closure Operation iff

- $C \subseteq R$  $\forall x \in X : x \leq C(x)$ extensive
- $R \subseteq CR C^{\mathsf{T}}$  $\forall x, y \in X : x \leq y \to C(x) \leq C(y)$ monotonicity  $CC \subseteq C$
- $\forall x \in X : C(C(x)) = C(x)$ idempotency

Development of first relational specification

$$\forall x \in X : x \leq C(x) \Leftrightarrow \forall x \in X : R_{x,C(x)} \Leftrightarrow \forall x, y \in X : C(x) = y \rightarrow R_{x,y} \Leftrightarrow \forall x, y \in X : C_{x,y} \rightarrow R_{x,y} \Leftrightarrow C \subseteq R$$

# **Recognizing Closure Operations**

- A Function C is a Closure Operation iff
  - extensive  $\forall x \in X : x \leq C(x)$   $C \subseteq R$
  - monotonicity  $\forall x, y \in X : x \leq y \rightarrow C(x) \leq C(y)$   $R \subseteq CR C^{\mathsf{T}}$
  - idempotency  $\forall x \in X : C(C(x)) = C(x)$   $CC \subseteq C$

Development of second relational specification

$$\forall x, y \in X : x \leq y \to C(x) \leq C(y)$$

$$\Rightarrow \forall x, y \in X : R_{x,y} \to R_{C(x),C(y)}$$

$$\Rightarrow \forall x, y \in X : R_{x,y} \to \exists a, b \in X : C(x) = a \land C(y) = b \land R_{a,b}$$

$$\Rightarrow \forall x, y \in X : R_{x,y} \to \exists a \in X : C_{x,a} \land \exists b \in X : R_{a,b} \land C_{b,y}^{\mathsf{T}}$$

$$\Rightarrow \forall x, y \in X : R_{x,y} \to (CR C^{\mathsf{T}})_{x,y}$$

$$\Rightarrow R \subseteq CR C^{\mathsf{T}}$$

# **Recognizing Closure Operations**

A Function C is a Closure Operation iff

- extensive  $\forall x \in X : x \leq C(x)$   $C \subseteq R$
- monotonicity  $\forall x, y \in X : x \leq y \rightarrow C(x) \leq C(y)$   $R \subseteq CRC^{\mathsf{T}}$
- idempotency  $\forall x \in X : C(C(x)) = C(x)$   $CC \subseteq C$

Development of third relational specification

$$\begin{array}{l} \forall x \in X : C(C(x)) = C(x) \\ \Leftrightarrow \quad \forall x, y, a \in X : C(x) = a \land C(a) = y \to C(x) = y \\ \Leftrightarrow \quad \forall x, y, a \in X : C_{x,a} \land C_{a,y} \to C_{x,y} \\ \Leftrightarrow \quad \forall x, y \in X : (\exists a \in X : C_{x,a} \land C_{a,y}) \to C_{x,y} \\ \Leftrightarrow \quad \forall x, y \in X : (CC)_{x,y} \to C_{x,y} \\ \Leftrightarrow \quad CC \subseteq C. \end{array}$$

# Recognizing Closure Operations as RELVIEW programsThe Formulae: $C \subseteq R$ $R \subseteq CRC^T$ $CC \subseteq C$ Program in Declarative StyleisExt(C,R) = incl(C,R).isMon(C,R) = incl(R,C\*R\*C^).

isIde(C) = incl(C\*C,C). isClos(C,R) = isExt(C,R) & isMon(C,R) & isIde(C).

#### Program in Imperative Style

```
isClos(C,R)
DECL isExt, isMon, isIde
BEG isExt = incl(C,R);
    isMon = incl(R,C*R*C^);
    isIde = incl(C*C,C)
    RETURN isExt & isMon & isIde
END.
```

Condition 1:  $\top \in S$ 

$$T \in S$$
  

$$\Rightarrow \exists x \in X : \mathsf{M}_{x,S} \land \operatorname{gel}(R,\mathsf{L})_{x}$$
  

$$\Leftrightarrow (\mathsf{M}^{\mathsf{T}}\operatorname{gel}(R,\mathsf{L}))_{S}$$
  

$$\Leftrightarrow (\operatorname{gel}(R,\mathsf{L})^{\mathsf{T}}\mathsf{M})^{\mathsf{T}}_{S}$$

where

M is the membership relation

 $\operatorname{gel}(R,v)$  is greatest element of set represented by vector v

Condition 2:  $a, b \in S$  imply  $a \sqcap b \in S$ . Let  $u = \langle u_1, u_2 \rangle$   $\forall u \in X \times X : u_1 \in S \land u_2 \in S \rightarrow u_1 \sqcap u_2 \in S$  $\Leftrightarrow \forall u \in X \times X : u_1 \in S \land u_2 \in S \rightarrow \exists z \in X : u_1 \sqcap u_2 = z \land z \in S$ 

Condition 2:  $a, b \in S$  imply  $a \sqcap b \in S$ . Let  $u = \langle u_1, u_2 \rangle$ 

 $\forall u \in X \times X : u_1 \in S \land u_2 \in S \to u_1 \sqcap u_2 \in S$ 

 $\Leftrightarrow \quad \forall \ u \in X \times X : u_1 \in S \land u_2 \in S \rightarrow \exists \ z \in X : u_1 \sqcap u_2 = z \land z \in S$ 

 $\Leftrightarrow \quad \forall \, u \in X \times X : \, u_1 \in S \land \, u_2 \in S \to \exists \, z \in X : \, \mathrm{Inf}(R)_{u,z} \land z \in S$ 

Condition 2:  $a, b \in S$  imply  $a \sqcap b \in S$ . Let  $u = \langle u_1, u_2 \rangle$ 

 $\forall u \in X \times X : u_1 \in S \land u_2 \in S \to u_1 \sqcap u_2 \in S$ 

 $\Leftrightarrow \quad \forall \ u \in X \times X : u_1 \in S \land u_2 \in S \rightarrow \exists \ z \in X : u_1 \sqcap u_2 = z \land z \in S$ 

 $\Leftrightarrow \quad \forall \ u \in X \times X : u_1 \in S \land u_2 \in S \rightarrow \exists \ z \in X : \mathrm{Inf}(R)_{u,z} \land z \in S$ 

 $\Leftrightarrow \quad \forall \ u \in X \times X : (\pi \mathsf{M})_{u,S} \land (\rho \mathsf{M})_{u,S} \to \exists \ z \in X : \mathrm{Inf}(R)_{u,z} \land \mathsf{M}_{z,S}$ 

Condition 2:  $a, b \in S$  imply  $a \sqcap b \in S$ . Let  $u = \langle u_1, u_2 \rangle$ 

 $\forall u \in X \times X : u_1 \in S \land u_2 \in S \to u_1 \sqcap u_2 \in S$ 

 $\Leftrightarrow \quad \forall \ u \in X \times X : u_1 \in S \land u_2 \in S \rightarrow \exists \ z \in X : u_1 \sqcap u_2 = z \land z \in S$ 

 $\Leftrightarrow \quad \forall \, u \in X \times X : \, u_1 \in S \land \, u_2 \in S \to \exists \, z \in X : \, \mathrm{Inf}(R)_{u,z} \land z \in S$ 

 $\Leftrightarrow \quad \forall \ u \in X \times X : (\pi \mathsf{M})_{u,S} \land (\rho \mathsf{M})_{u,S} \to \exists \ z \in X : \mathrm{Inf}(R)_{u,z} \land \mathsf{M}_{z,S}$ 

 $\Leftrightarrow \quad \forall \ u \in X \times X : (\pi \mathsf{M})_{u,S} \land (\rho \mathsf{M})_{u,S} \to (\mathrm{Inf}(R) \mathsf{M})_{u,S}$ 

Condition 2:  $a, b \in S$  imply  $a \sqcap b \in S$ . Let  $u = \langle u_1, u_2 \rangle$ 

 $\forall u \in X \times X : u_1 \in S \land u_2 \in S \to u_1 \sqcap u_2 \in S$ 

 $\Leftrightarrow \quad \forall \ u \in X \times X : u_1 \in S \land u_2 \in S \rightarrow \exists \ z \in X : u_1 \sqcap u_2 = z \land z \in S$ 

 $\Leftrightarrow \quad \forall \ u \in X \times X : u_1 \in S \land u_2 \in S \rightarrow \exists \ z \in X : \mathrm{Inf}(R)_{u,z} \land z \in S$ 

 $\Leftrightarrow \quad \forall \ u \in X \times X : (\pi \mathsf{M})_{u,S} \land (\rho \mathsf{M})_{u,S} \to \exists \ z \in X : \mathrm{Inf}(R)_{u,z} \land \mathsf{M}_{z,S}$ 

- $\Leftrightarrow \quad \forall u \in X \times X : (\pi \mathsf{M})_{u,S} \land (\rho \mathsf{M})_{u,S} \to (\mathrm{Inf}(R) \mathsf{M})_{u,S}$
- $\Leftrightarrow \neg \exists u \in X \times X : (\pi \mathsf{M})_{u,S} \land (\rho \mathsf{M})_{u,S} \land \operatorname{Inf}(R) \mathsf{M}_{u,S}$

Condition 2:  $a, b \in S$  imply  $a \sqcap b \in S$ . Let  $u = \langle u_1, u_2 \rangle$ 

$$\forall u \in X \times X : u_1 \in S \land u_2 \in S \to u_1 \sqcap u_2 \in S$$

$$\Leftrightarrow \quad \forall \ u \in X \times X : u_1 \in S \land u_2 \in S \rightarrow \exists \ z \in X : u_1 \sqcap u_2 = z \land z \in S$$

$$\Leftrightarrow \quad \forall \ u \in X \times X : u_1 \in S \land u_2 \in S \rightarrow \exists \ z \in X : \mathrm{Inf}(R)_{u,z} \land z \in S$$

$$\Leftrightarrow \quad \forall \ u \in X \times X : (\pi \mathsf{M})_{u,S} \land (\rho \mathsf{M})_{u,S} \to \exists \ z \in X : \mathrm{Inf}(R)_{u,z} \land \mathsf{M}_{z,S}$$

$$\Leftrightarrow \quad \forall \ u \in X \times X : (\pi \mathsf{M})_{u,S} \land (\rho \mathsf{M})_{u,S} \to (\mathrm{Inf}(R) \mathsf{M})_{u,S}$$

$$\Leftrightarrow \neg \exists u \in X \times X : (\pi \mathsf{M})_{u,S} \land (\rho \mathsf{M})_{u,S} \land \overline{\mathrm{Inf}(R) \mathsf{M}}_{u,S}$$

$$\Leftrightarrow \neg \exists u \in X \times X : (\pi \mathsf{M} \cap \rho \mathsf{M} \cap \overline{\mathrm{Inf}(R) \mathsf{M}})'_{s,u} \wedge \mathsf{L}_{u}$$

Condition 2:  $a, b \in S$  imply  $a \sqcap b \in S$ . Let  $u = \langle u_1, u_2 \rangle$  $\forall u \in X \times X : u_1 \in S \land u_2 \in S \rightarrow u_1 \sqcap u_2 \in S$  $\Leftrightarrow \forall u \in X \times X : u_1 \in S \land u_2 \in S \rightarrow \exists z \in X : u_1 \sqcap u_2 = z \land z \in S$  $\Leftrightarrow \forall u \in X \times X : u_1 \in S \land u_2 \in S \to \exists z \in X : Inf(R)_{u,z} \land z \in S$  $\Leftrightarrow \forall u \in X \times X : (\pi \mathsf{M})_{u,S} \land (\rho \mathsf{M})_{u,S} \to \exists z \in X : \mathrm{Inf}(R)_{u,z} \land \mathsf{M}_{z,S}$  $\Leftrightarrow \forall u \in X \times X : (\pi \mathsf{M})_{\mu,S} \land (\rho \mathsf{M})_{\mu,S} \to (\mathrm{Inf}(R) \mathsf{M})_{\mu,S}$  $\Leftrightarrow \neg \exists u \in X \times X : (\pi \mathsf{M})_{u,S} \land (\rho \mathsf{M})_{u,S} \land \operatorname{Inf}(R) \mathsf{M}_{u,S}$  $\Leftrightarrow \neg \exists u \in X \times X : (\pi \mathsf{M} \cap \rho \mathsf{M} \cap \overline{\mathrm{Inf}(R)} \mathsf{M})^{\mathsf{T}}_{S.u} \wedge \mathsf{L}_{u}$  $\Leftrightarrow (\pi \mathsf{M} \cap \rho \mathsf{M} \cap \overline{\mathrm{Inf}(R)} \mathsf{M})^{\mathsf{T}} \mathsf{L}_{\mathsf{c}}$ 



Result of Minj(v), since inj(v);  $\mathcal{G} \not\leftarrow 2^{\chi}$  is the identity function



# Conclusion

The computer algebra system  $\operatorname{ReLV}\nolimits{I\!E\!W}$  supports the study of closure objects in several ways:

- Visualization (Boolean matrices, graphs, layout algorithms, labeling, highlighting)
- Animation (via step-wise execution)
- Testing (random relations and graphs, specified properties and degree of filling, generation of sets of all candidates)

Most of the presented algorithms scale well and are applicable to large examples (due to  $\operatorname{RelViEW}$ 's ROBDD implementation).

Only exception: Computation of all possible closure systems as their number is exponential in general.