
Today's Topic

Parsing: Lexical and syntactical analysis

- Combinator parsing
- Monadic parsing

Lexical and Syntactical Analysis

- ...in the following summarized as *parsing*

...an application of functional programming typically used to demonstrate its power and elegance.

Enjoys a long history. As an example of early work see e.g...

- W. Burge. *Recursive Programming Techniques*, Addison-Wesley, 1975.

Parsing – Implementation Variants

Two variants...

- *Combinator parsing*
 - ↪ *recursive descent* parsing
 - Graham Hutton. *Higher-Order Functions for Parsing*. Journal of Functional Programming 2(3):323-343, 1992.
- *Monadic parsing*
 - Graham Hutton, Erik Meijer. *Monadic Parser Combinators*. Technical Report NOTTCS-TR-96-4, Dept. of Computer Science, University of Nottingham, 1996.

Reference

The following presentation is based on...

- Chapter 17
Simon Thompson. *Haskell – The Craft of Functional Programming*, Addison-Wesley, 2nd edition, 1999.
- Graham Hutton, Erik Meijer. *Monadic Parsing in Haskell*. Journal of Functional Programming 1(1), 1993.

Parsing informally

The basic problem...

- Read a sequence of objects of type a and
- extract from this sequence an object or a list of objects of type b.

Example: Parsing of Expressions

Consider...

- Expressions

```
data Expr = Lit Int | Var Name | Op Ops Expr Expr
data Ops  = Add | Sub | Mul | Div | Mod
```

```
Op Mul (Op Add (Lit 2) (Lit 3)) (Lit 3)
                                corresponds to ((2+3)*3)
```

The parsing task to be solved...

- Read an expression of the form $((2+3)*5)$ and yield the corresponding expression of type `expr`.

(Note: This can be considered the reverse of the `show` function. It is similar to the derived `read` function, but differs in the arguments it takes (expressions of the form $((2+3)*5)$ vs. expressions of the form `Op Mul (Add (Lit 2) (Lit 3)) (Lit 5)`).

Initial Considerations 1(2)

What should be the type of a parsing function?

```
type BSParse1 a b = [a] -> b

-- Parser  Input      Expected Output
bracket  "(xyz" --> ' ('
number   "234"  --> 2 or 23 or 234 ?
bracket  "234"  --> no result, failure?
```

We have to answer...

How shall the parser behave if there ...

- ...are multiple results?
- ...is a failure?

Initial Considerations 2(2)

```
type BSParse2 a b = [a] -> [b]

-- Parser  Input      Expected Output
bracket  "(xyz" --> [' (']
number   "234"  --> [2, 23, 234]
bracket  "234"  --> []
```

Now we have to answer...

- What shall be done with the remaining input?

Type of the Parser 1(2)

The conclusion of our initial considerations...

```
type Parse a b = [a] -> [(b,[a])]
```

```
-- Parser   Input           Expected Output
bracket    "(xyz"  -->  [( '(', "xyz" )]
number     "234"  -->  [(2, "34"), (23, "4"), (234, "")]
bracket     "234"  -->  []
```

Remark:

- The capability of delivering multiple results enables the analysis of ambiguous grammars
 \leadsto *list of successes* technique
- Each element in the output list represents a successful parse.

Basic Parsers 1(3)

Primitive, input-*independent* parsing functions

- The always failing parsing function

```
none :: Parse a b
none inp = []
```
- The always successful parsing function

```
succeed :: b -> Parse a b
succeed val inp = [(val,inp)]
```

Remark:

- The `none` parser always fails. It does not accept anything.
- The `succeed` parser does not consume its input. In BNF-notation this corresponds to the symbol ϵ representing the empty word.

Type of the Parser 2(2)

Convention:

- *Delivery of the empty list* ...signals failure of the analysis.
- *Delivery of a non-empty list* ...signals success of the analysis; each element of the list is a pair, whose first component is the identified object (token) and whose second component is the input not yet considered.

Basic Parsers 2(3)

Primitive, input-*dependent* parsing functions

- Recognizing single objects (token)...

```
token :: Eq a => a -> Parse a a
token t (x:xs)
  | t == x    = [(t,xs)]
  | otherwise = []
token t []    = []
```
- Recognizing single objects satisfying a particular property...

```
spot :: (a -> Bool) -> Parse a a
spot p (x:xs)
  | p x      = [(x,xs)]
  | otherwise = []
spot p []    = []
```

Basic Parsers 3(3)

Application:

```
bracket = token '('
dig     = spot isDigit

isDigit :: Char -> Bool
isDigit ch = ('0' <= ch) && (ch <= '9')
```

Note: ...token can be defined by means of spot

```
token t = spot (== t)
```

Combining Parsers 1(4)

...to obtain (more) complex parsing functions

~> *Combinator Parsing*

...building a library of higher-order polymorphic functions, which are then used to construct parsers

- Alternatives

```
alt :: Parse a b -> Parse a b -> Parse a b
alt p1 p2 inp = p1 inp ++ p2 inp
```

Underlying intuition:

...an expression is *either* a literal, *or* a variable *or* an operator expression

Example:

```
(bracket 'alt' dig) "234" --> [] ++ [(2,"34")]
```

~> ...the alt parser combines the results of the parses given by parsers p1 and p2

Combining Parsers 2(4)

- Sequential composition of parsers

```
infixr 5 >*>
(>*>) :: Parse a b -> Parse a c -> Parse a (b,c)
(>*>) p1 p2 inp
  = [((y,z),rem2) | (y,rem1) <- p1 inp,
                  (z,rem2) <- p2 rem1 ]
```

Underlying intuition:

...an operator expression starts with a bracket *followed by* a number

Combining Parsers 3(4)

Example:

Because of number "24(" --> [(2,"4("), (24,"(")] we obtain

```
(number >*> bracket) "24("
--> [((y,z),rem2) | (y,rem1) <- [(2,"4("), (24,"("),
                          (z,rem2) <- bracket rem1 ]
--> [((2,z),rem2) | (z,rem2) <- bracket "4(" ] ++
   [((24,z),rem2) | (z,rem2) <- bracket "(" ]

--> [] ++ [((24,z),rem2) | (z,rem2) <- bracket "(" ]
```

Because of bracket "(" --> [('(','(")] we finally obtain

```
--> [((24,z),rem2) | (z,rem2) <- [('(','(")] ]
--> [ ((24,'('), "(") ]
```

Combining Parsers 4(4)

- Transformation/Modification

↪ change the item returned by the parser, or build something from it...

```
build :: Parse a b -> (b -> c) -> Parse a c
build p f inp = [ (f x, rem) | (x,rem) <- p inp ]
```

Example: (`digList` returns a list of numbers and shall be embedded such that the number represented by it is returned.)

```
(digList 'build' digsToNum) "21a3"
--> [ (digsToNum x,rem) | (x,rem) <- digList "21a3" ]
--> [ (digsToNum x,rem) | (x,rem) <-
      [ ("2", "1a3"), ("21", "a3") ] ]
--> [ (digsToNum "2", "1a3"), (digsToNum "21", "a3") ]
--> [ (2, "1a3"), (21, "a3") ]
```

The Clou

The combinators

- `alt`
- `>*>`
- `build`

together with the basic parsers constitute a universal “parser basis,” i.e., allow to build any parser which might be desired.

Example: A Parser for a List of Objects

We suppose to be given a parser recognizing single objects:

```
list :: Parse a b -> Parse a [b]
list p = (succeed []) 'alt'
        ((p >*> list p) 'build' (uncurry (:)))
```

Intuition:

- A list can be empty.
↪ this is recognized by the parser `succeed []`
- A list can be non-empty, i.e., it consists of an object followed by a list of objects.
↪ this is recognized by the combined parser `p >*> list p`, where we use `build` to turn a pair `(x,xs)` into the list `(x:xs)`.

Summary and Conclusion

...about combining parsers (*parser combinators*)

- Parsing functions in the above fashion are structurally similar to grammars in BNF-form. For each operator of the BNF-grammar there is a corresponding (higher-order) parsing function.
- These higher-order functions *combine* simple(r) parsing functions to (more) complex parsing functions.
- They are thus also called *combining forms*, or, as a short hand, *combinators* (cf. Graham Hutton. *Higher-Order Functions for Parsing*).

Overview of the Parsing Functions 1(4)

```
-- Sequence operator
infixr 5 >*>

-- Parser type
type Parse a b = [a] -> [(b,[a])]

-- Input-independent parsing functions
none :: Parse a b
none inp = []

succeed :: b -> Parse a b
succeed val inp = [(val,inp)]
```

Overview of the Parsing Functions 2(4)

```
-- Recognizing single objects
token :: Eq a => a -> Parse a a
token t = spot (==t)

-- Recognizing single objects satisfying a particular property
spot :: (a -> Bool) -> Parse a a
spot p (x:xs)
  | p x      = [(x,xs)]
  | otherwise = []
spot p []   = []
```

Overview of the Parsing Functions 3(4)

```
-- Alternatives
alt :: Parse a b -> Parse a b -> Parse a b
alt p1 p2 inp = p1 inp ++ p2 inp

-- Sequences
(>*>) :: Parse a b -> Parse a c -> Parse a (b,c)
(>*>) p1 p2 inp
  = [( (y,z),rem2) | (y,rem1) <- p1 inp, (z,rem2) <- p2 rem1 ]

-- Transformation/Modification
build :: Parse a b -> (b -> c) -> Parse a c
build p f inp = [ (f x, rem) | (x,rem) <- p inp ]
```

Overview of the Parsing Functions 4(4)

```
-- Application example
list :: Parse a b -> Parse a [b]
list p = (succeed []) 'alt'
        ((p >*> list p) 'build' (uncurry ()))
```

Application: Back to the Initial Example

We consider expressions of the form...

```
data Expr = Lit Int | Var Name | Op Ops Expr Expr
data Ops  = Add | Sub | Mul | Div | Mod
```

```
Op Add (Lit 2) (Lit 3)    corresponds to    2+3
```

...where the following convention shall hold:

- *Literals* ...67, ~89, etc., where ~ is used for unary minus
- *Names* ...the lower case characters from 'a' to 'z'
- *Applications of the binary operations* ...+, *, -, /, %, where % is used for mod and / for integer division.
- Expressions are fully bracketed, and white space is not permitted.

A Parser for Expressions 1(3)

The parser consists...

```
parser :: Parse Char Expr
parser = litParse 'alt' nameParse 'alt' opExpParse
```

...of three parts corresponding to the three sorts of expressions.

Part I: Parsing names of variables

```
nameParse :: Parse Char Expr
nameParse = spot isName 'build' Name
```

```
isName :: Char -> Bool
isName x = ('a' <= x && x <= 'z')
```

A Parser for Expressions 2(3)

Part II: Parsing (fully bracketed binary) operator expressions

```
opExpParse
= (token '(' >*>
  parser >*>
  spot isOp >*>
  parser >*>
  token ')')
  'build' makeExpr
```

Part III: Parsing literals (numerals)

```
litParse
= ((optional (token '~')) >*>
  (neList (spot isDigit))
  'build' (charlistToExpr . uncurry (++))
```

A Parser for Expressions 3(3)

```
neList  :: Parse a b -> Parse a [b]
optional :: Parse a b -> Parse a [b]
```

such that:

- `neList p` recognizes a non-empty list of the objects which are recognized by `p`
- `optional p` recognizes an object recognized by `p` or succeeds immediately.

Note that `neList` and `optional` as well as a number of other supporting functions used such as...

- `isOp`
- `charlistToExpr`
- ...

are yet to be defined (~> exercise).

The Top-level Parser / Putting it all together

Converting a string to the expression it represents...

```
topLevel :: Parse a b -> [a] -> b
topLevel p inp
  = case results of
    [] -> error "parse unsuccessful"
    _  -> head results
  where
    results = [ found | (found, []) <- p inp ]
```

Note:

- The input string is provided by the value of `inp`.
- The parse is successful, if the result contains at least one parse, in which all the input has been read.

Summary and Conclusions 1(2)

Parsers of the form...

```
type Parse a b = [a] -> [(b,[a])]

none :: Parse a b
succeed :: b -> Parse a b
spot :: (a -> Bool) -> Parse a a
alt :: Parse a b -> Parse a b -> Parse a b
>*> :: Parse a b -> Parse a c -> Parse a (b,c)
build :: Parse a b -> (b -> c) -> Parse a c
topLevel :: Parse a b -> [a] -> b
```

...support particularly well the construction of so-called *recursive descent* parsers.

Summary and Conclusions 2(2)

The following language features proved invaluable...

- *Higher-order functions* ...`Parse a b` is of a functional type; all parser combinators are thus higher-order functions, too.
- *Polymorphism* ...consider again the type of `Parse a b`: We do need to be specific about either the input or the output type of the parsers we build. Hence, the above parser combinator can immediately be reused for other (token-) and data types.
- *Lazy evaluation* ... "on demand" generation of the possible parses, automatical backtracking (the parsers will backtrack through the different options until a successful one is found).

Monadic Parsing

```
newtype Parser a = Parser (String -> [(a,String)])
```

We use again the convention:

- *Delivery of the empty list* ...signals failure of the analysis
- *Delivery of a non-empty list* ...signals success of the analysis; each element of the list is a pair, whose first component is the identified object (token) and whose second component the input still to be examined

A Monad of Parsers

Basic Parsers...

- Recognizing single characters...

```
item :: Parser Char
item = Parser (\cs -> case cs of
    ""      -> []
    (c:cs) -> [(c,cs)])
```

Compare: item vs. token

The Parser Monad

Reminder: The class monad...

```
class Monad m where
    return :: a -> m a
    (>>=)  :: m a -> (a -> m b) -> m b
```

Note: Parser is a type constructor. This allows...

```
instance Monad Parser where
    -- The always successful parser
    return a = Parser (\cs -> [(a,cs)])
    -- Sequences
    p >>= f = Parser (\cs -> concat [parse (f a) cs' |
                                     (a,cs') <- parse p cs])
```

Compare: return vs. succeed and (>>=) vs. infixr

Properties of return and (>>=)

As required for instances of class Monad, we can show...

```
return a >>= f = f a
p >>= return = p
p >>= (\a -> (f a >>= g)) = (p >>= (\a -> f a)) >>= g
```

Reminder:

- The above properties are required for each instance of class Monad, not just for the specific instance of the parser monad
 - ...return is left-unit and right-unit for (>>=)
 - ~> ...allows a simpler and more concise definition of some parsers
 - ...(>>=) is associative
 - ~> ...allows suppression of parentheses when parsers are applied sequentially

Typical Structure of a Parser 1(2)

...using the operator (>>=)

```
p1 >>= \a1 ->
p2 >>= \a2 ->
...
pn >>= \an ->
f a1 a2 ... an
```

Intuition:

There is a natural operational reading of such a parser...

- Apply parser p1 and denote its result value a1
- Apply subsequently parser p2 and denote its result value a2
- ...
- Apply concludingly parser pn and denote its result value an
- Combine finally the intermediate result values by applying some suitable function f

Typical Structure of a Parser 2(2)

The `do`-notation allows a more elegant and appealing notation...

```
do a1 <- p1
   a2 <- p2
   ...
   an <- pn
   f a1 a2 ... an
```

Alternatively, in just one line...

```
do {a1 <- p1; a2 <- p2; ...; an <- pn; f a1 a2 ... an}
```

Notational Conventions

Expressions of the form

- `ai <- pi` are called *generators*
(since they generate values for the variables `ai`)

Remark:

A generator of the form `ai <- pi` can be

- replaced by `pi`, if the generated value will not be used afterwards

Example

A Parser `p`, which...

- reads three characters
- drops the second character of these and
- returns the first and the third character as a pair

Implementation:

```
p :: Parser (Char,Char)
p = do {c <- item; item; d <- item; return (c,d)}
```

Parser Extensions 1(2)

Monads with a *zero* and a *plus* are captured by two built-in class definitions in Haskell...

```
class Monad m => MonadZero m where
    zero :: m a
```

```
class MonadZero m => MonadPlus m where
    (++) :: m a -> m a -> m a
```

Parser Extensions 2(2)

The type constructor `Parser` can be made instances of these two classes as follows:

- The parser which always fails...

```
instance MonadZero Parser where
  zero = Parser (\cs -> [])
```

- The parser which non-deterministically selects...

```
instance MonadPlus Parser where
  p ++ q = (\cs -> parse p cs ++ parse q cs)
```

Simple Properties 1(2)

We can show...

```
zero ++ p = p
p ++ zero = p
p ++ (q ++ r) = (p ++ q) ++ r
```

Remark: The above properties are required to hold for each monad with `zero` and `plus`

Informally:

- ...`zero` is left-unit and right-unit for `(++)`
- ...`(++)` is associative

Simple Properties 2(2)

Specifically for the parser monad we can additionally show...

```
zero >>= f = zero
p >>= const zero = zero
(p ++ q) >>= f = (p >>= f) ++ (q >>= f)
p >>= (\a -> f a ++ g a) = (p >>= f) ++ (p >>= g)
```

Informally:

- ...`zero` is left-zero and right-zero element for `(>>=)`
- ...`(>>=)` distributes through `(++)`

Deterministic Selection

The parser which deterministically selects...

```
(+++) :: Parser a -> Parser a -> Parser a
p +++ q = Parser (\cs -> case parse (p ++ q) cs of
  [] -> []
  (x:xs) -> [x])
```

Note:

- `(+++)` shows the same behavior as `(++)`, but yields at most one result
- `(+++)` satisfies all of the previously mentioned properties of `(++)`

Further Parsers

Recognizing...

- single objects satisfying a particular property

```
sat  :: (Char -> Bool) -> Parser Char
sat p = do {c <- item; if p c then return c else zero}
```

- single objects

```
char  :: Char -> Parser Char
char c = sat (c ==)
```

- sequences of numbers, lower case and upper case characters, etc.

...analogously to char

Compare: sat and char vs. spot and token

Recursion Combinators 1(3)

Useful parsers can often recursively be defined...

- Parse a specific string

```
string  :: String -> Parser String
string "" = return ""
string (c:cs) = do {char c; string cs; return (c:cs)}
```

- Parse repeated applications of a parser p

(Zero or more applications of p)

```
many  :: Parser a -> Parser [a]
many p = many1 p +++ return []
```

(One or more applications of p)

```
many1 :: Parser a -> Parser [a]
many1 p = do {a <- p; as <- many p; return (a:as)}
```

Recursion Combinators 2(3)

- Similar to the parser `many` but with interspersed applications of the parser `sep`, whose result values are thrown away

```
sepby  :: Parser a -> Parser b -> Parser [a]
p 'sepby' sep = (p 'sepby1' sep) +++ return []
```

```
sepby1 :: Parser a -> Parser b -> Parser [a]
p 'sepby1' sep = do a <- p
                  as <- many (do {sep; p})
                  return (a:as)
```

Recursion Combinators 3(3)

- Parse repeated applications of a parser `p`, separated by applications of a parser `op`, whose result value is an operator that is assumed to associate to the left, and which is used to combine the results from the `p` parsers

```
chainl  :: Parser a -> Parser (a -> a -> a) -> a -> Parser a
chainl p op a = (p 'chainl1' op) +++ return a
```

```
chainl1 :: Parser a -> Parser (a -> a -> a) -> Parser a
p 'chainl1' op = do {a <- p; rest a}
                where
                    rest a = (do f <- op
                               b <- p
                               rest (f a b))
                    +++ return a
```

Lexical Combinators

Suitable combinators allow suppression of a lexical analysis (token recognition), which traditionally precedes parsing...

- Parsing of a string with blanks and line breaks

```
space :: Parser String
space = many (sat isSpace)
```

- Parsing of a token by means of parsers p

```
token :: Parser a -> Parser a
token p = do {a <- p; space; return a}
```

- Parsing of a symbol token

```
symb :: String -> Parser String
symb cs = token (string cs)
```

- Application of parser p, removal of initial blanks

```
apply :: Parser a -> String -> [(a,String)]
apply p = parse (do {space; p})
```

Example: Parsing of Expressions 1(3)

Grammar:

...for arithmetic expressions built up from single digits using the operators +, -, *, /, and parentheses:

```
expr ::= expr addop term | term
term ::= term mulop factor | factor
factor ::= digit | (expr)
digit ::= 0 | 1 | ... | 9

addop ::= + | -
mulop ::= * | /
```

Example: Parsing of Expressions 2(3)

Parsing and evaluating expressions (yielding integer values) using the `chainl1` combinator to implement the left-recursive production rules for `expr` and `term`...

```
expr :: Parser Int
addop :: Parser (Int -> Int -> Int)
mulop :: Parser (Int -> Int -> Int)

expr = term 'chainl1' addop
term = factor 'chainl1' mulop
factor = digit +++ do {symb "("; n <- expr; symb "("}; return n}
digit = do {x <- token (sat isDigit); return (ord x - ord '0')}

addop = do {symb "+"; return (+)} +++ do {symb "-"; return (-)}
mulop = do {symb "*"; return (*)} +++ do {symb "/"; return (div)}
```

Example: Parsing of Expressions 3(3)

Example:

Evaluating

```
apply expr " 1 - 2 * 3 + 4 "
```

gives the singleton list

```
[(-1,"")] as desired
```

as desired.

Further Readings 1(3)

On combinator parsing...

- J. Fokker. *Functional Parsers*. In: *Advanced Functional Programming, First International Summer School*, Springer, LNCS 925 (1995), 1-23.
- S. Hill. *Combinators for Parsing Expressions*. *Journal of Functional Programming* 6:445-463, 1996.
- P. Koopman, R. Plasmeijer. *Efficient Combinator Parsers*. In *Proceedings of Implementation of Functional Languages*, Springer, LNCS 1595 (1999), 122-138.

Further Readings 2(3)

On error-correcting parsing...

- P. Wadler. *How to Replace Failure with a List of Successes*, in: *Functional Programming Languages and Computer Architectures*, Springer, LNCS 201 (1985), 113 - 128.
- D. Swierstra, P. Azero Alcocer. *Fast, Error Correcting Parser Combinators: A Short Tutorial*. In *Proceedings SOFSEM'99, Theory and Practice of Informatics, 26th Seminar on Current Trends in Theory and Practice of Informatics*, Springer, LNCS 1725 (1999), 111-129.
- D. Swierstra, L. Duponcheel. *Deterministic, Error Correcting Combinator Parsers*. In: *Advanced Functional Programming, Second International Spring School*, Springer, LNCS 1129 (1996), 184-207.

Further Readings 3(3)

On parser libraries...

- Daan Leijen, Erik Meijer. *Parsec: A Practical Parser Library*. *Electronic Notes in Theoretical Computer Science* 41(1), 2001.
- A. Gill, S. Marlow. *Happy – The Parser Generator for Haskell*. University of Glasgow, 1995.
<http://www.haskell.org/happy>

Next Course Meeting...

- Thu, May 26, 2011, lecture time: 4.15 p.m. to 5.45 p.m., lecture room on the ground floor of the building Argentinierstr. 8