Reminder

Thesis

- The expressive power of a language, which supports modular design, depends much on the power of the concepts and primitives allowing to combine solutions of subproblems to the solution of the overall problem. (Keyword: glue). (Example: making of a chair)
- Functional programming provides two new, especially powerful means ("glues") for this purpose:
 - 1. Higher order functions (functionals)
 - 2. Lazy evaluation

Modularization and re-use offer thus even *conceptually* (and not just technically (lexical scoping, separate compilation, etc.)) new opportunities and become much easier to apply

 Modularization (smaller, simpler, more general) is the guideline, which should be used by functional programmers for guidance

Advanced Functional Programming (SS 2007) / Part 2 (Thu, 04/19/07)

I Glueing Functions Together

See part I of this lecture.

Reminder (Cont'd)

We did talk about...

• Higher-order functions as glue for *glueing functions to- gether*

We did not yet talk about...

• Lazy evaluation as glue for *glueing programs together*

Advanced Functional Programming (SS 2007) / Part 2 (Thu, 04/19/07)

2

II Glueing Programs Together

If f and g are programs, then also

g . f

is a program. Applied to the input input, it yields the output

g (f input)

A possible conventional implementation (glue): communication via files

Possible problems of such an implementation:

- Temporary files are often too large
- f might not terminate

Functional Glue

Lazy evaluation offers a more elegant remedy.

As a glue, it allows:

- Decomposition of a problem into a
 - generator and a
 - selector

component.

Intuition:

• The generator component "runs as little as possible" until it is terminated by the selector component.

Advanced Functional Programming (SS 2007) / Part 2 (Thu, 04/19/07)

5

Example 1: Computing Square Roots

Computing Square Roots (according to Newton-Raphson)

Given: N Sought: squareRoot(N)

Iteration formula:

$$a(n+1) = (a(n) + N/a(n)) / 2$$

Justification: If converging to some limit a, we have:

Advanced Functional Programming (SS 2007) / Part 2 (Thu, 04/19/07)

6

Compare this...

...with a typical imperative (Fortran-) program:

```
C N is called ZN here so that it has the right type

X = A0

Y = A0 + 2.*EPS

C The value of Y does not matter so long as ABS(X-Y).GT.EPS

100 IF (ABS(X-Y).LE.EPS) GOTO 200

Y = X

X = (X + ZN/X) / 2.

GOTO 100

200 CONTINUE

C The square root of ZN is now in X
```

The Functional Version 1(4)

Computing the next approximation

next N x =
$$(x + N/x) / 2$$

Denoting this function f, we are interested in computing the sequence of approximations:

The Functional Version 2(4)

The function repeat computes this (possibly infinite) sequence of approximations. It is the *generator* component in this example:

```
repeat f a = cons a (repeat f (f a))
```

Applying repeat to the arguments next N and a0 yields the desired sequence of approximations:

```
repeat (next N) a0
```

Advanced Functional Programming (SS 2007) / Part 2 (Thu, 04/19/07)

The Functional Version 4(4)

Summing up:

• repeat... generator component:

```
[a0, f a0, f(f a0), f(f(f a0)), ...]
```

...potentially infinite, no limit on the length

• within... selector component:

 f^i a0 with abs(f^i a0 - f^{i+1} a0) <= eps

...lazy evaluation ensures that the selector function is applied eventually ⇒ termination!

The Functional Version 3(4)

Note: The evaluation of

repeat (next N) a0

does not terminate!

Remedy: ...computing squareroot \mathbb{N} up to a given tolerance eps > 0. Instrumental is: the *selector* component.

Implementation:

Still to do: Combining the components/modules:

```
sqrt a0 eps N = within eps (repeat (next N) a0)
```

Advanced Functional Programming (SS 2007) / Part 2 (Thu, 04/19/07)

10

Evidence of Modularity: Variants

Consider another stop criterion:

...instead of awaiting the difference of successive approximations to approach zero (<= eps), await their ratio to approach one (<= 1+eps)

Implementation:

Still to do: (re-) composition of the components/modules:

relativesqrt a0 eps N = relative eps (repeat (next N) a0)

Note: The generator, i.e., the "module" computing the sequence of approximations can be reused unchanged.

Example 2: Numerical Integration

Numerical Integration

Given: A real valued function f of one real argument; two endpoints a und b of an interval

Sought: The area under f between a and b

Naive Implementation:

...supposed that the function ${\tt f}$ is roughly linear between a und ${\tt b}$.

easyintegrate f a b = (f a + f b) * (b-a) / 2

...sufficiently precise at most for very small intervals.

Advanced Functional Programming (SS 2007) / Part 2 (Thu, 04/19/07)

Refinements 1(4)

Idea

- Halve the interval, compute the areas for both subintervals according to the previous formula, and add the two results
- Continue the previous step repeatedly

The function integrate implements this strategy:

Reminder:

13

15

```
zip (cons a s) (cons b t) = cons (pair a b) (zip s t)
```

Advanced Functional Programming (SS 2007) / Part 2 (Thu, 04/19/07)

1.4

Refinements 2(4)

• integrate is sound but inefficient (redundant computations of f a, f b, and f mid

The following version of integrate is free of this deficiency

Refinements 3(4)

Note: The evaluation of

integrate f a b

does not terminate!

Remedy: ...computing integrate f a b up to some limit eps > 0.

Implementation:

Variant A: within eps (integrate f a b)

Variant B: relative eps (integrate f a b)

Refinements 4(4)

Summing up...

• Generator component:

integrate

...potentially infinite, no limit on the length

• Selector component:

within, relative

...lazy evaluation ensures that the selector function is applied eventually ⇒ Terminierung!

Advanced Functional Programming (SS 2007) / Part 2 (Thu, 04/19/07)

17

19

Example 3: Numerical Differentiation

Numerical Differentiation

Given: A real valued function ${\tt f}$ of one real argument; a point ${\tt v}$

Sought: The slope of f at point x

Naive Implementation:

...supposed that the function ${\tt f}$ between ${\tt x}$ and ${\tt x+h}$ does not "curve much"

easydiff f x h = (f(x+h) - f x) / h

...sufficiently precise at most for very small values of h.

Advanced Functional Programming (SS 2007) / Part 2 (Thu, 04/19/07)

18

Refinements 1(2)

Generate a sequence of approximations getting successively "better"

differentiate h0 f x = map (easydiff f x) (repeat halve h0) halve x = x/2

Selecting a sufficiently precise approximation

within esp (differentiate h0 f x)

Conclusion 1(4)

The composition pattern, which in fact is common to all three examples becomes apparent again. It consists of

- generator (not limited itself!) and
- selector (ensuring termination thanks to lazy evaluation!)

Conclusion 2(4)

Thesis

• ...modularity is the key to programming in the large

Observation

- ...just modules do not suffice
- ...the benefit of decomposing a problem into modular subproblems depends much on the capabilities for the combination of modules (glue!)
- ...the availability of proper glue is substantial!

Advanced Functional Programming (SS 2007) / Part 2 (Thu, 04/19/07)

21

23

Conclusion 3(4)

Fact

- Functional programming offers two new kinds of glue
 - Higher-order functions
 - Lazy evaluation
- Higher-order functions and lazy evaluation allow substantially new exciting modular decompositions of problems (by offering elegant composition means) as here given evidence by an array of impressive examples
- In essence, it it the superior glue, which makes functional programs to be written so concisely and elegantly

Advanced Functional Programming (SS 2007) / Part 2 (Thu, 04/19/07)

22

Conclusion 4(4)

Guideline

- Functional programmers should strive for adequate modularization and generalization
 - Especially, if a portion of a program looks ugly or appears to be too complex
- Functional programmers should expect that *higher-order* functions and *lazy evaluation* are the tools for doing this

Lazy vs. Eager Evaluation

Reconsidering...

- In view of the previous arguments...
 - The benefits of lazy evaluation as glue is so evident that lazy evaluation is too important to make it a secondclass citizen.
 - Lazy evaluation is possibly the most powerful glue functional programming has to offer.
 - Access to such a powerful means should not frivolously be dropped.

Worthwhile too...

...the examination of the following papers:

- Paul Hudak. Conception, Evolution, and Application of Functional Programming Languages. ACM Computing Surveys, Vol. 21, No. 3, 359-411, 1989.
- Phil Wadler. The Essence of Functional Programming. In Conference Record of the 19th Annual Symposium on Principles of Programming Languages (POPL'92), 1-14, 1992.
- Simon Peyton Jones. Wearing the Hair Shirt A Retrospective on Haskell. Invited Keynote Presentation at the 30th Annual Symposium on Principles of Programming Languages (POPL'03), 2003.

Slides: http://research.microsoft.com/Users/simonpj/ papers/haskell-retrospective/index.html Last but not least...

Next lecture...

• Thu, April 26, 2007, lecture time: 4.15 p.m. to 5.45 p.m., lecture room on the ground floor of the building Argentinierstr. 8

Second assignment...

• Please check out the homepage of the course for details.

Advanced Functional Programming (SS 2007) / Part 2 (Thu, 04/19/07)

26