

CASM: Implementing an Abstract State Machine based programming language

Roland Lezuo, Gergö Barany, Andreas Krall

{rlezuo,gergo,andi}@complang.tuwien.ac.at



Institute of Computer Languages
Vienna University of Technology



ATPS 2013
February 26, 2013

Coming up...

- CASM: programming language based on Abstract State Machines
- Interpreted/compiled (to C++), supports symbolic execution
- Our application: verified instruction set simulation

Definitions

Abstract State Machines

State: arbitrary data

Rules: specify data values in next state

Rules

- Pure
- Independent
- Allow parallel execution

Example: Parallel Swap

```
function x : -> Int
function y : -> Int

rule swap = {
    x := y
    y := x
}
```

- Functions: state data
- Map locations (argument tuples) to values

Example: Parallel Swap

```
function x : -> Int
function y : -> Int

rule swap = {
    x := y
    y := x
}
```

- Rules: specify updates
- Independent evaluation of updates
- Update set captures all effects

Example: Parallel Swap

```
function x : -> Int
function y : -> Int

rule swap = {
    x := y
    y := x
}
```

- State transition: atomic application of all updates

Example: Parallel Swap

```
function x : -> Int
function y : -> Int

rule swap = {
    x := y
    y := x
}
```

Example

Initial state: $\{x = 3, y = 2\}$

Update set: $\{(x, 2), (y, 3)\}$

New state: $\{x = 2, y = 3\}$

Example: Naïve Fibonacci

```
function i : -> Int initially { 2 }
function fib : Int -> Int initially { 0 -> 0, 1 -> 1 }

rule nextfib = {
    i := i + 1
    fib(i) := fib(i-1) + fib(i-2)
}

}
```

Evaluations of `fib(...)`: lookups, not calls; **Memoization built in!**

Execution model: Repeat top-level rule until explicit termination.

Example: Naïve Fibonacci

```
function i : -> Int initially { 2 }
function fib : Int -> Int initially { 0 -> 0, 1 -> 1 }

rule nextfib = {
    i := i + 1
    fib(i) := fib(i-1) + fib(i-2)
    if i >= 100 then
        program(self) := undef /* terminate */
}
```

if rule: empty update set if condition false

Sequential constructs

```
rule hundred_fibs =  
    seqblock  
        fib(0) := 0  
        fib(1) := 1  
        i := 2  
  
    endseqblock
```

seqblock: Compute subrules sequentially.

Track intermediate update sets.



Sequential constructs

```
rule hundred_fibs =
    seqblock
        fib(0) := 0
        fib(1) := 1
        i := 2
        iterate
            if i < 100 then {
                i := i + 1
                fib(i) := fib(i-1) + fib(i-2)
            }
    endseqblock
```

seqblock: Compute subrules sequentially.

iterate: Repeat subrule until update set empty.

Track intermediate update sets.



Other language constructs

Parallel loop:

```
forall i in [0..3] do
    square(i) := i * i
```

Other language constructs

Parallel loop:

```
forall i in [0..3] do  
    square(i) := i * i
```

Derived expressions (“function definitions”):

```
derived d(a:Int, b:Boolean) = (a >= 3) and b  
...  
foo(y) := d(x, true)
```

Other language constructs

Parallel loop:

```
forall i in [0..3] do
    square(i) := i * i
```

Derived expressions (“function definitions”):

```
derived d(a:Int, b:Boolean) = (a >= 3) and b
...
foo(y) := d(x, true)
```

Subrule invocation:

```
rule simulation = {
    call time_update()
    call system_update()
    call environment_update()
}
```



Type system

Static monomorphic type system with simple type inference.

Primitive types: Int, Boolean, ...

Type constructors: List(...), Tuple(..., ...)

Symbols: enum MyEnum = { one, two, three }

No support (yet?) for algebraic datatypes.

Symbolic execution

```
function i : -> Int initially { 2 }
function fib : (symbolic) Int -> Int

rule nextfib = {
    i := i + 1
    fib(i) := fib(i-1) + fib(i-2)
}
```

Symbolic trace of successive states:

- $\{(i, 2)\}$

Symbolic execution

```
function i : -> Int initially { 2 }
function fib : (symbolic) Int -> Int

rule nextfib = {
    i := i + 1
    fib(i) := fib(i-1) + fib(i-2)
}
```

Symbolic trace of successive states:

- $\{(i, 2)\}$
- $\{(i, 3), (fib(0), s_0), (fib(1), s_1), (fib(2), s_0 + s_1)\}$

Symbolic execution

```
function i : -> Int initially { 2 }
function fib : (symbolic) Int -> Int

rule nextfib = {
    i := i + 1
    fib(i) := fib(i-1) + fib(i-2)
}
```

Symbolic trace of successive states:

- $\{(i, 2)\}$
- $\{(i, 3), (fib(0), s_0), (fib(1), s_1), (fib(2), s_0 + s_1)\}$
- $\{(i, 4), (fib(0), s_0), (fib(1), s_1), (fib(2), s_0 + s_1), (fib(3), (s_0 + s_1) + s_1)\}$
- ...

Application example: Instruction set simulation (1)

Implemented MIPS instruction set simulator in CASM.

Example instruction: and-immediate

```
rule andi(instr: Int) =  
let rs = PARG(instr, FV_RS) in  
let rt = PARG(instr, FV_RT) in  
let imm = PARG(instr, FV_IMM) in  
let imm_ex = BVZeroExtend(imm, 16, 32) in  
    if rt != 0 then  
        GPR(rt) := BVand(32, GPR(rs), imm_ex)
```

Instruction set specification: ~ 600 lines

Application example: Instruction set simulation (2)

Execution model (simplified):

```
rule run_program =
    seqblock
        /* execute instruction at PC */
        call(PMEM(PC))(PC)
        /* update PC for next instruction */
        if BRANCH = undef then
            PC := PC + 4
        else {
            PC := BRANCH
            BRANCH := undef
        }
    endseqblock
```

Simple simulator model executes at ~ 1 MHz



Application example: Instruction set simulation (3)

Verified more complex simulator implementations:

- Specified pipelined execution models (1500 LOC instruction descriptions, 400 LOC execution models)



Image source: <http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/File:Fivestagespipeline.png>

- Instructions in different pipeline stages execute independently
- Symbolic execution trace
- Equivalence proof of simple and pipelined semantics

Summary

- CASM: interpreted/compiled/symbolic programming language based on abstract state machines
- Pure (or: disciplined?), statically typed
- Efficient enough for real-world instruction set simulation

Summary

- CASM: interpreted/compiled/symbolic programming language based on abstract state machines
- Pure (or: disciplined?), statically typed
- Efficient enough for real-world instruction set simulation

Thank you for your attention!

This work is supported by the Austrian Research Promotion Agency (FFG) under contract 827485, *Correct Compilers for Correct Application Specific Processors*, and Catena DSP GmbH.