

Start of Lecture 10: RSL: IMPERATIVE & PARALLEL CONSTRUCTS

A.6.2. Variables and Assignment

0. **variable** v :Type := expression
1. $v := \text{expr}$

A.6.3. Statement Sequences and skip

2. **skip**
3. $\text{stm}_1; \text{stm}_2; \dots; \text{stm}_n$

A.6.4. Imperative Conditionals

4. **if** expr **then** stm_c **else** stm_a **end**
5. **case** e **of**: $p_1 \rightarrow S_1(p_1), \dots, p_n \rightarrow S_n(p_n)$ **end**

A.6. Imperative Constructs

A.6.1. Statements and State Changes

Unit

value

$\text{stmt}: \text{Unit} \rightarrow \text{Unit}$

$\text{stmt}()$

- The **Unit** clause, in a sense, denotes “an underlying state”
 - which we, for simplicity, can consider as
 - a mapping from identifiers of declared variables into their values.
- Statements accept no arguments and, usually, operate on the state
 - through “reading” the value(s) of declared variables and
 - through “writing”, i.e., assigning values to such declared variables.
- Statement execution thus changes the state (of declared variables).
- $\text{Unit} \rightarrow \text{Unit}$ designates a function from states to states.
- Statements, **stmt**, denote state-to-state changing functions.
- Affixing () as an “only” arguments to a function “means” that () is an argument of type **Unit**.

A.6.5. Iterative Conditionals

6. **while** expr **do** stm **end**
7. **do** stmt **until** expr **end**

A.6.6. Iterative Sequencing

8. **for** e **in** $\text{list_expr} \cdot P(b)$ **do** $S(b)$ **end**

(A. A.6. Imperative Constructs A.6.6. Iterative Sequencing)

A.7. Process Constructs

A.7.1. Process Channels

Let A , B and D stand for two types of (channel) messages and $i:KIdx$ for channel array indexes, then:

channel $c, c':A$ **channel** $\{k[i] | i:KIdx\}:B$ $\{ch[i] | i:KIdx\}:B$

(A. A.7. Process Constructs A.7.1. Process Channels)

- We assume a net, $n : N$, and a set, vs , of vehicles.
- Each vehicle can potentially interact
 - with each hub and
 - with each link.
- Array channel indices $(vi,hi):IVH$ and $(vi,li):IVL$ serve to effect these interactions.
- Each hub can interact with each of its connected links and indices $(hi,li):IHL$ serves these interactions.

type N, V, VI **value** $n:N, vs:V\text{-set}$ $\omega VI: V \rightarrow VI$ **type** H, L, HI, LI, M $IVH = VI \times HI, IVL = VI \times LI, IHL = HI \times LI$

(A. A.7. Process Constructs A.7.1. Process Channels)

Example 45 – Modelling Connected Links and Hubs:

- Examples (45–48) are building up a model of one form of meaning of a transport net.
 - We model the movement of vehicles around hubs and links.
 - We think of each hub, each link and each vehicle to be a process.
 - These processes communicate via channels.

(A. A.7. Process Constructs A.7.1. Process Channels)

- We need some auxiliary quantities in order to be able to express subsequent channel declarations.
- Given that we assume a net, $n : N$ and a set of vehicles, $vs : VS$, we can now define the following (global) values:
 - the sets of hubs, hs , and links, ls of the net;
 - the set, $ivhs$, of indices between vehicles and hubs,
 - the set, $ivls$, of indices between vehicles and links, and
 - the set, $ihls$, of indices between hubs and links.

value $hs:H\text{-set} = \omega Hs(n), ls:L\text{-set} = \omega Ls(n)$ $his:HI\text{-set} = \{\omega HI(h) | h:H \cdot h \in hs\}, lis:LI\text{-set} = \{\omega LI(l) | l:L \cdot l \in ls\},$ $ivhs:IVH\text{-set} = \{(\omega VI(v), \omega HI(h)) | v:V, h:H \cdot v \in vs \wedge h \in hs\}$ $ivls:IVL\text{-set} = \{(\omega VI(v), \omega LI(l)) | v:V, l:L \cdot v \in vs \wedge l \in ls\}$ $ihls:IHL\text{-set} = \{(hi,li) | h:H, (hi,li):IHL \cdot h \in hs \wedge hi = \omega HI(h) \wedge li \in \omega LIs(h)\}$

- We are now ready to declare the channels:
 - a set of channels, $\{vh[i] \mid i:IVH \cdot i \in ivhs\}$ between vehicles and all potentially traversable hubs;
 - a set of channels, $\{vl[i] \mid i:IVL \cdot i \in ivls\}$ between vehicles and all potentially traversable links; and
 - a set of channels, $\{hl[i] \mid i:IHL \cdot i \in ihls\}$, between hubs and connected links.

channel

$$\{vh[i] \mid i:IVH \cdot i \in ivhs\} : M$$

$$\{vl[i] \mid i:IVL \cdot i \in ivls\} : M$$

$$\{hl[i] \mid i:IHL \cdot i \in ihls\} : M$$

■ End of Example 45

value

$$P: \mathbf{Unit} \rightarrow \mathbf{in} \ c \ \mathbf{out} \ k[i] \ \mathbf{Unit}$$

$$Q: i:KIdx \rightarrow \mathbf{out} \ c \ \mathbf{in} \ k[i] \ \mathbf{Unit}$$

$$P() \equiv \dots \ c \ ? \ \dots \ k[i] \ ! \ e \ \dots \ ; \ P()$$

$$Q(i) \equiv \dots \ k[i] \ ? \ \dots \ c \ ! \ e \ \dots \ ; \ Q(i)$$

$$R: \mathbf{Unit} \rightarrow \mathbf{out} \ c \ \mathbf{in} \ k[i] \ B$$

$$S: i:KIdx \rightarrow \mathbf{out} \ c \ \mathbf{in} \ k[i] \ D$$

$$R() \equiv \dots \ c \ ? \ \dots \ ch[i] \ ! \ e \ \dots \ ; \ B_Val_Expr$$

$$S(i) \equiv \dots \ ch[i] \ ? \ \dots \ c \ ! \ e \ \dots \ ; \ D_Val_Expr$$

A.7.2. Process Definitions

- A process definition is a function definition.
- The below signatures are just examples.
- They emphasise that process functions must somehow express,
 - in their signature,
- via which channels they wish to engage in input and output events.
- Processes P and Q are to interact, and to do so “ad infinitum”.
- Processes R and S are to interact, and to do so “once”, and then yielding B , respectively D values.

Example 46 – Communicating Hubs, Links and Vehicles:

- Hubs interact with links and vehicles:
 - with all immediately adjacent links,
 - and with potentially all vehicles.
- Links interact with hubs and vehicles:
 - with both adjacent hubs,
 - and with potentially all vehicles.
- Vehicles interact with hubs and links:
 - with potentially all hubs.
 - and with potentially all links.

value

$$\begin{aligned} \text{hub: } & \text{hi:HI} \times \text{h:H} \rightarrow \mathbf{in,out} \{ \text{hl}[(\text{hi},\text{li}) | \text{li:LI} \cdot \text{li} \in \omega\text{LIs}(\text{h})] \} \\ & \mathbf{in,out} \{ \text{vh}[(\text{vi},\text{hi}) | \text{vi:VI} \cdot \text{vi} \in \text{vis}] \} \quad \mathbf{Unit} \\ \text{link: } & \text{li:LI} \times \text{l:L} \rightarrow \mathbf{in,out} \{ \text{hl}[(\text{hi},\text{li}) | \text{hi:HI} \cdot \text{hi} \in \omega\text{HIs}(\text{l})] \} \\ & \mathbf{in,out} \{ \text{vh}[(\text{vi},\text{li}) | \text{vi:VI} \cdot \text{vi} \in \text{vis}] \} \quad \mathbf{Unit} \\ \text{vehicle: } & \text{vi:VI} \rightarrow (\text{Pos} \times \text{Net}) \rightarrow \text{v:V} \rightarrow \\ & \mathbf{in,out} \{ \text{vh}[(\text{vi},\text{hi}) | \text{hi:HI} \cdot \text{hi} \in \text{his}] \} \\ & \mathbf{in,out} \{ \text{vl}[(\text{vi},\text{li}) | \text{li:LI} \cdot \text{li} \in \text{lis}] \} \quad \mathbf{Unit} \end{aligned}$$

■ End of Example 46

Example 47 – Modelling Transport Nets:

- The net, with vehicles, potential or actual, is now considered a process.
- It is the parallel composition of
 - all hub processes,
 - all link processes and
 - all vehicle processes.

value

$$\begin{aligned} \text{net: } & \text{N} \rightarrow \text{V-set} \rightarrow \mathbf{Unit} \\ \text{net}(n)(\text{vs}) & \equiv \\ & \parallel \{ \text{hub}(\omega\text{HI}(\text{h}))(\text{h}) | \text{h:H} \cdot \text{h} \in \omega\text{Hs}(n) \} \parallel \\ & \parallel \{ \text{link}(\omega\text{LI}(\text{l}))(\text{l}) | \text{l:L} \cdot \text{l} \in \omega\text{Ls}(n) \} \parallel \\ & \parallel \{ \text{vehicle}(\omega\text{VI}(\text{v}))(\omega\text{PN}(\text{v}))(\text{v}) | \text{v:V} \cdot \text{v} \in \text{vs} \} \end{aligned}$$

$$\omega\text{PN: V} \rightarrow (\text{Pos} \times \text{Net})$$
A.7.3. Process Composition

- Let P and Q stand for names of process functions,
- i.e., of functions which express willingness to engage in input and/or output events,
- thereby communicating over declared channels.
- Let \mathcal{P} and \mathcal{Q} stand for process expressions,
- and let \mathcal{P}_i stand for an indexed process expression, then:

$\mathcal{P} \parallel \mathcal{Q}$	Parallel composition
$\mathcal{P} \square \mathcal{Q}$	Nondeterministic external choice (either/or)
$\mathcal{P} \sqcap \mathcal{Q}$	Nondeterministic internal choice (either/or)
$\mathcal{P} \# \mathcal{Q}$	Interlock parallel composition
$\mathcal{O} \{ \mathcal{P}_i \mid i:\text{Idx} \}$	Distributed composition, $\mathcal{O} = \parallel, \square, \sqcap, \#$

- We illustrate a schematic definition of simplified hub processes.
- The hub process alternates, internally non-deterministically, \sqcap , between three sub-processes
 - a sub-process which serves the link-hub connections,
 - a sub-process which serves those vehicles which communicate that they somehow wish to enter or leave (or do something else with respect to) the hub, and
 - a sub-process which serves the hub itself — whatever that is !

$$\begin{aligned} \text{hub}(\text{hi})(\text{h}) & \equiv \\ & \sqcap \{ \mathbf{let} \ m = \text{hl}[(\text{hi},\text{li})] \ ? \ \mathbf{in} \ \text{hub}(\text{hi})(\mathcal{E}_{h_\ell}(\text{li})(\text{m})(\text{h})) \ \mathbf{end} \mid \text{li:LI} \cdot \text{li} \in \omega\text{LI}(\text{h}) \} \\ & \sqcap \sqcap \{ \mathbf{let} \ m = \text{vh}[(\text{vi},\text{hi})] \ ? \ \mathbf{in} \ \text{hub}(\text{vi})(\mathcal{E}_{h_v}(\text{vi})(\text{m})(\text{h})) \ \mathbf{end} \mid \text{vi:VI} \cdot \text{vi} \in \text{vis} \} \\ & \sqcap \ \text{hub}(\text{hi})(\mathcal{E}_{h_{own}}(\text{h})) \end{aligned}$$

- The three auxiliary processes:
 - \mathcal{E}_{h_ℓ} update the hub with respect to (wrt.) connected link, li , information m ,
 - \mathcal{E}_{h_v} update the hub with wrt. vehicle, vi , information m ,
 - $\mathcal{E}_{h_{own}}$ update the hub with wrt. whatever the hub so decides. An example could be signalling dependent on previous link-to-hub communicated information, say about traffic density.

$$\mathcal{E}_{h_\ell}: LI \rightarrow M \rightarrow H \rightarrow H$$

$$\mathcal{E}_{h_v}: VI \rightarrow M \rightarrow H \rightarrow H$$

$$\mathcal{E}_{h_{own}}: H \rightarrow H$$

- The student is encouraged to sketch/define similarly schematic link and vehicle processes. ■ End of Example 47

Example 48 – Modelling Vehicle Movements:

- Whereas hubs and links are modelled as basically static, passive, that is, inert, processes we shall consider vehicles to be “highly” dynamic, active processes.
- We assume that a vehicle possesses knowledge about the road net.
 - The road net is here abstracted as an awareness of
 - which links, by their link identifiers,
 - are connected to any given hub, designated by its hub identifier,
 - the length of the link,
 - and the hub to which the link is connected “at the other end”, also by its hub identifier

A.7.4. Input/Output Events

- Let c and $k[i]$ designate channels of type A
- and e expression values of type A , then:

[1] $c?, k[i]?$	input A value
[2] $c!e, k[i]!e$	output A value

value

[3] $P: \dots \rightarrow \mathbf{out} \ c \ \dots, P(\dots) \equiv \dots \ c!e \ \dots$	offer an A value,
[4] $Q: \dots \rightarrow \mathbf{in} \ c \ \dots, Q(\dots) \equiv \dots \ c? \ \dots$	accept an A value
[5] $S: \dots \rightarrow \dots, S(\dots) = P(\dots) \parallel Q(\dots)$	synchronise and communicate

- [5] expresses the willingness of a process to engage in an event that
 - [1,3] “reads” an input, respectively
 - [2,4] “writes” an output.

- A vehicle is further modelled by its current position on the net in terms of either hub or link positions
 - designated by appropriate identifiers
 - and, when “on a link” “how far down the link”, by a measure of a fraction of the total length of the link, the vehicle has progressed.

type

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Net} &= \text{HI} \ \overrightarrow{\text{m}} \ (\text{LI} \ \overrightarrow{\text{m}} \ \text{HI}) \\ \text{Pos} &= \text{atH} \mid \text{onL} \\ \text{atH} &== \mu \text{atH}(\text{hi}:\text{HI}) \\ \text{onL} &== \mu \text{onL}(\text{fhi}:\text{HI}, \text{li}:\text{LI}, \text{f}:\text{F}, \text{thi}:\text{HI}) \\ \text{F} &= \{ | \text{f}:\text{Real} \cdot 0 \leq \text{f} \leq 1 | \} \end{aligned}$$

- We first assume that the vehicle is at a hub.
- There are now two possibilities (1–2] versus [4–8]).
 - Either the vehicle remains at that hub
 - * [1] which is expressed by some non-deterministic *wait*
 - * [2] followed by a resumption of being that vehicle at that location.
 - [3] Or the vehicle (driver) decides to “move on”:
 - * [5] Onto a link, li ,
 - * [4] among the links, lis , emanating from the hub,
 - * [6] and towards a next hub, hi' .
 - [4,6] The lis and hi' quantities are obtained from the vehicles own knowledge of the net.
 - [7] The hub and the chosen link are notified by the vehicle of its leaving the hub and entering the link,
 - [8] whereupon the vehicle resumes its being a vehicle at the initial location on the chosen link.

- We then assume that the vehicle is on a link and at a certain distance “down”, f , that link.
- There are now two possibilities ([1–2] versus [4–7]).
 - Either the vehicle remains at that hub
 - * [1'] which is expressed by some non-deterministic *wait*
 - * [2'] followed by a resumption of being that vehicle at that location.
 - [3'] Or the vehicle (driver) decides to “move on”.
 - [4'] Either
 - * [5'] The vehicle is at the very end of the link and signals the link and the hub of its leaving the link and entering the hub,
 - * [6'] whereupon the vehicle resumes its being a vehicle at hub hi' .
 - [7'] or the vehicle moves further down, some non-zero fraction down the link.
- The vehicle chooses between these two possibilities by an internal non-deterministic choice ([3]).

- The vehicle chooses between these two possibilities by an internal non-deterministic choice ([3]).

type

$M == \mu L_H(li:LI,hi:HI) \mid \mu H_L(hi:HI,li:LI)$

value

vehicle: $VI \rightarrow (Pos \times Net) \rightarrow V \rightarrow \mathbf{Unit}$

vehicle(vi)($\mu atH(hi),net$)(v) \equiv

```
[1] (wait ;
[2] vehicle( $vi$ )( $\mu atH(hi),net$ )( $v$ ))
[3] []
[4] (let  $lis=dom\ net(hi)$  in
[5] let  $li:LI \cdot li \in lis$  in
[6] let  $hi'=(net(hi))(li)$  in
[7] (vh[ ( $vi,hi$ ) ]! $\mu H\_L(hi,li)$ ||v[ ( $vi,li$ ) ]! $\mu L\_H(hi,li)$ );
[8] vehicle( $vi$ )( $\mu onL(hi,li,0,hi')$ ),net)( $v$ )
[9] end end end)
```

type

$M == \mu L_H(li:LI,hi:HI) \mid \mu H_L(hi:HI,li:LI)$

value

$\delta:Real = move(h,f)$ axiom $0 < \delta \ll 1$

vehicle(vi)($\mu onL(hi,li,f,hi')$),net)(v) \equiv

```
[1'] (wait ;
[2'] vehicle( $vi$ )( $\mu onL(hi,li,f,hi')$ ),net)( $v$ ))
[3'] []
[4'] (case f of
[5'] 1  $\rightarrow$  ((v[  $vi,hi'$  ]! $\mu L\_H(li,hi')$ ||vh[  $vi,li$  ]! $\mu L\_H(li,hi')$ );
[6'] vehicle( $vi$ )( $\mu atH(hi')$ ),net)( $v$ ),
[7'] _  $\rightarrow$  vehicle( $vi$ )( $\mu onL(hi,li,f+\delta,hi')$ ),net)( $v$ )
[8'] end)
```

move: $H \times F \rightarrow F$

(A. A.7. **Process Constructs** A.7.4. **Input/Output Events**)

End of Lecture 10: RSL IMPERATIVE & PARALLEL CONSTRUCTS