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## Meta-Interpreter

A bird's eye view
(1) Virtual machine for Python

2 Tracing JIT compiler
(3) Moving gnerational GC (Mark and sweep, incremental)
(4) Extensible/modular architecture

## But we did not build a TJIT/GC for Python

JITs are often strongly tied to interpreter \& language internals
Components, newly invented over and over

- Bytecode/AST to IR
- Optimizer
- Register allocator
- Code generation

Those are (amongst others) tricky to get right and require a lot of work

## RPython

## High level language to aid VM construction

(1) Import the complete program

Initialisation can use full Python
2 Process the code object (abstract interpretation)
Yields control flow and data flow
(3) Annotation

Deduce types starting from the interp. entry point
(4) RTyping

Converts graphs to low level operations
(5) Codegeneration

Emits C code that is later compiled


## RPYTHON

## JITCODE



def BINARY_ADD(self):
. $\cdot$

```
p0 = getfield_gc(p0, 'func_globals')
p2 = getfield gc(pl, 'strval')
call(dict_lookup, p0, p2)
```

compile-time

```
p0 = getfield_gc(p0, 'locals_w')
setarrayitem_gc(p0, i0, p1)
```

....
...
promote_class(p0)
$i 0=$ getfield_gc(p0, 'intval')
promote_class(p1)
il $=$ getfield gc(p1, 'intval')
$i 2=$ int_add(í0, i1)
if (overflowed) goto
p2 = new_with_vtable('W_IntObject')
setfield gc(p2, i2, 'intval')



## Terminology

- Translation: Transforming an interpreter to an executable
- Tracer: Attached to the interpreter, records it's steps
- Jit Code: Code the tracer executes to record steps
- Trace: Linear sequence of instructions Single entry, multi exit
- Guard: Instruction to ensure correctness Bails out of the trace if it fails
- Bridge: A trace that is attached to a guard Attaching a bridge is also called "stitching"


## Tracing JIT

Trace: List of instructions (Single entry, multi exit)

```
1 # Inner Loop
2 i = 0
3 while i < X:
    x = func(i * 33)
    if x != 0:
        break
    p[i].x = x // 2
    i += 1
```



## Tracing JIT (II)

## Procedure of building trace tree is "recursive"

- JitDriver used to be able to trace a dispatch loop
- Entering and leaving another JitDriver supported
- Function tracing



## Vectorization Algorithm

## Superword parallelism

Element wise addition of two vectors


Single Instruction Multiple Data


Hardware supported (e.g. SSE, NEON, ...)

## Motivation

NumPy, a versatile array processing library
? Why does NumPy on PyPy not work out of the box
减: GC Scheme + C level API of CPython

Solution was needed to optimize the array
processing
Potential to use it in regular Python programs

## Vectorization

## Contradicting goals in a JIT compiler

(1) Time \& space requirements
(2) Traces instead of regions
(3) Specifically targeting SIMD instructions
"为: Traces might contain enough parallelism

## Building blocks

(1) Loop unrolling if necessary
2 Data structures to express dependencies
(3) Analysis step
4. Transformation
(5) Adapted code generation

## Data Dependency

Graph representation of instruction dependencies.

- True dependency
- Anti dependency
- Output dependency
- (Control dependency)

Parallel


## Ubiquitous guard exists

## Introduces many dependencies

Need to be eliminated, no validity preserving transformation possible

突: Code motion moves guard as early as possible Move a path of pure operations earlier

## Instruction Parallelism

Analysis step to group instructions
A simple greedy comparison of operations initiated by load/store operations

- $O\left(n^{2}\right)$ to compare each instruction with another $n$... \# trace instructions
- Only load/store instructions are considered at first
- Extension phase follows dependencies

To reveal other parallel instructions

Grouping of "isomorphic" instructions
Same IR opcode and argument types

## Instruction Parallelism (II)

Resulting information contains
(1) Pairs: Tuple of parallel isomorphic instructions
(2) Pack: N-Tuple of parallel isomorphic instructions

Transformation pass
Can be done by re-scheduling the trace considering pairs and packs

Code generation

## Scheduling

Work through the dependency graph:
(1) Pick, remove and emit a schedulable node
(2) Remove edges and recompute the set of schedulable nodes

Pack dependencies
Cycle can only be broken by partly/fully removing the pack restriction

## Scheduling II

Additional enhancements done while scheduling:f
(1) Vector cropping. Size of the input vector is too big/small Integer sign extensions
(2) Vector slot movement

Conversion 32-bit float to 64-bit float
(3) Invariant scalar/constant expansion
(4) Inline scalar/constant expansion

## Example

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 1 \mathrm{x}=\operatorname{load}(\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{i}) \\
& { }^{2} \mathrm{z}=\mathrm{x}+1 \\
& { }^{3} \operatorname{store}(\mathrm{~b}, \mathrm{i}, \mathrm{z}) \\
& { }_{4} \operatorname{guard}(\mathrm{i}+1<\mathrm{R}) \\
& 5 \text { \# iteration } \mathrm{i}+1 \\
& { }^{6} \mathrm{y}=\operatorname{load}(\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{i}+1) \\
& { }_{7} \mathrm{~W}=\mathrm{y}+1 \\
& 8 \operatorname{store}(\mathrm{~b}, \mathrm{i}+1, \mathrm{w}) \\
& { }_{9} \operatorname{guard}(\mathrm{i}+2<\mathrm{R})
\end{aligned}
$$

## Example

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 1 \mathrm{x}=\operatorname{load}(\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{i}) \\
& 2 \mathrm{z}=\mathrm{x}+1 \\
& 3 \text { store }(\mathrm{b}, \mathrm{i}, \mathrm{z}) \\
& { }_{4} \operatorname{guard}(\mathrm{i}+1<\mathrm{R}) \\
& 5 \# \text { iteration } \mathrm{i}+1 \\
& 6 \mathrm{y}=\operatorname{load}(\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{i}+1) \\
& 7 \mathrm{~W}=\mathrm{y}+1 \\
& 8 \operatorname{store}(\mathrm{~b}, \mathrm{i}+1, \mathrm{w}) \\
& 9 \operatorname{guard}(\mathrm{i}+2<\mathrm{R})
\end{aligned}
$$



## Tracing complications

${ }_{1} \mathrm{x}=\operatorname{load}(\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{i})$
$2 \mathrm{Z}=\mathrm{x}+1$
3 store (b,i,z)
${ }_{4} \operatorname{guard}(i+1<R)$ 。
$5 \mathrm{y}=\operatorname{load}(\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{i}+1)$
$6 \mathrm{w}=\mathrm{y}+1$
7 store (b, i+1,w) -
${ }^{8} \operatorname{guard}(\mathrm{i}+2<\mathrm{R})$
$?$ Store operations independent
Counter example: Guard fails, but store(b,i+1,w) already executed.

潧: Valid to execute guard earlier

## "Guard Early Exit"



Move guards to an earlier place. Scheduling reorders instructions.

## "Guard Early Exit"



Move guards to an earlier place. Scheduling reorders instructions.
I Pure operations must precede guards
${ }_{1} \operatorname{guard}(\mathrm{i}+1<\mathrm{R})$
$2 \operatorname{guard}(\mathrm{i}+2<\mathrm{R})$
${ }^{3} \operatorname{guard}(\mathrm{i}+3<\mathrm{R})$
${ }_{4} \mathrm{x}=\operatorname{load}(\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{i})$
${ }_{5} \mathrm{z}=\mathrm{x}+1$
${ }_{6}$ store (b,i,z)
${ }_{7} \mathrm{y}=\operatorname{load}(\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{i}+1) \square \square$
$8 \mathrm{w}=\mathrm{y}+1$
9 store (b, i+1,w)
$10 \mathrm{v}=\operatorname{load}(\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{i}+2)$
${ }_{11} \mathrm{q}=\mathrm{v}+1$
12 store (b, i+2,q)
13
${ }_{1} \operatorname{guard}(\mathrm{i}+1<\mathrm{R})$
$2 \operatorname{guard}(\mathrm{i}+2<\mathrm{R})$
${ }^{3} \operatorname{guard}(\mathrm{i}+3<\mathrm{R})$
${ }_{4} \mathrm{x}=\operatorname{load}(\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{i})$
${ }_{5} \mathrm{z}=\mathrm{x}+1$
${ }_{6}$ store (b,i,z)
${ }_{7} \mathrm{y}=\operatorname{load}(\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{i}+1) \square \square$
$8 \mathrm{w}=\mathrm{y}+1$
9 store(b,i+1,w) ■■
$10 \mathrm{v}=\operatorname{load}(\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{i}+2)$
${ }_{11} \mathrm{q}=\mathrm{v}+1$
12 store (b, i+2,q)
${ }_{1} \operatorname{guard}(\mathrm{i}+1<\mathrm{R})$
$2 \operatorname{guard}(\mathrm{i}+2<\mathrm{R})$
${ }_{3}^{3} \operatorname{guard}(\mathrm{i}+3<\mathrm{R})$
$4 \mathrm{x}=\operatorname{load}(\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{i})$
$5 \mathrm{z}=\mathrm{x}+1$
${ }_{6}$ store (b,i,z)
${ }_{7} \mathrm{y}=\operatorname{load}(\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{i}+1) \square \square$
$8 \mathrm{w}=\mathrm{y}+1$
9 store(b,i+1,w) ■■
$10 \mathrm{v}=\operatorname{load}(\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{i}+2)$
${ }_{11} \mathrm{q}=\mathrm{v}+1$
12 store (b, i+2,q)

## Packing

${ }_{1} \operatorname{guard}(\mathrm{i}+1<\mathrm{R})$
${ }^{2} \operatorname{guard}(\mathrm{i}+2<\mathrm{R})$
${ }_{3}$ guard( $\mathrm{i}+3<\mathrm{R}$ )
${ }_{4} \mathrm{x}=\operatorname{load}(\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{i})$
$5 \mathrm{z}=\mathrm{x}+1$
6 store (b,i,z)
$7 \mathrm{y}=\operatorname{load}(\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{i}+1) \square$
$8 \mathrm{w}=\mathrm{y}+1$
9 store (b,i+1,w)
$10 \mathrm{v}=\operatorname{load}(\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{i}+2) \square$
${ }_{11} \mathrm{q}=\mathrm{v}+1$
12 store (b, i+2,q)

Packs are a representation of vector instructions
(1) Independent instructions
(2) Isomorphic instruction pairs/packs

## Vector loop

+ label(a,b,i,R)
${ }^{2} \operatorname{guard}(\mathrm{i}+3<\mathrm{R})$
${ }_{3}[\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{v}]=\mathrm{vec}$ _load( $\left.\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{i}\right)$
${ }_{4}[\mathrm{z}, \mathrm{w}, \mathrm{q}]=[\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{v}]+[1,1,1] \square$
5 vec_store (b,i, [z,w,q])
${ }^{6}$ jump(a,b,i+3,R)


## Embedding it into PyPy

## Embedding it into PyPy

Optimization just before backend assembly

- Just after the "unrolling optimization"

Guard strength reduction, invariant code motion, object virtualization

Roughly 4000 lines of code

+ 4000 for testing


## Accumulation

## Reduction cannot be represented

Need to carry information out of trace loops and recognize the pattern
"ت': ': Chained computations can be matched, saved as accumulation pack
(1) Use an accumulation vector to save the computation In each slot only a part of the information is stored
(2) Several points need the resulting value "Flush" the real value (e.g. sum: horizontal add)
(3) Scheduling pass needs to be adapted slightly

## Speculative ABC optimization

Array bound checks are not fully eliminated
Loop bounds and array bounds are checked
Speculative step to remove guarding instructions.

If the loop bound is smaller than the length of the array, no IndexError cannot occur on that array.
Transitive relation introduced that is checked before the vector loop

## Version trace loops

?Switch back to interpreter always necessary?

## Several iterations needed to complete the loop (odd vector length)

(1) Directly attach versions of the loop to the loop exit
(2) As well as to guards for ABC

No need to switch back to the interpreter

## Extensions

The following has been added:

- Constant/Scalar expansion
- Accumulation
- Speculative ABC optimization for array accesses
- Trace Loop versioning


## Future work

- Aligned memory access not yet supported
- No reordering support of interleaved formats


## Evaluation

## Optimization time

| Count | Instruction count | Unroll factor | Microseconds |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 6 | $12-16$ | 2 | 101.47 |
| 5 | $17-19$ | 4 | 158.46 |
| 2 | 17 | 8 | 224.03 |
| 2 | 17 | 16 | 396.60 |

## Benchmark programs

| Name | CPython | PyPy | VecOpt | VecOpt Speedup |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| arc-distance | 0.07898 | 0.1813 | 0.1608 | $\mathbf{1 . 1}$ |
| diffusion | 0.5603 | 5.665 | 3.889 | $\mathbf{1 . 5}$ |
| evolve | 0.1967 | 1.815 | 1.728 | $\mathbf{1 . 1}$ |
| fft | 0.9507 | 0.2981 | 0.2955 | 1.0 |
| harris | 0.3485 | 3.119 | 1.504 | $\mathbf{2 . 1}$ |
| I2norm | 0.564 | 1.73 | 1.634 | $\mathbf{1 . 1}$ |
| Istsqr | 0.3844 | 1.506 | 1.39 | $\mathbf{1 . 1}$ |
| multiple-sum | 0.1432 | 0.6341 | 0.25 | $\mathbf{1 . 1}$ |
| rosen | 0.5795 | 3.498 | 3.438 | 1.0 |
| specialconvolve | 0.4713 | 3.876 | 2.649 | $\mathbf{1 . 5}$ |
| vibr-energy | 0.2784 | 0.7552 | 0.699 | $\mathbf{1 . 1}$ |
| wave | 2.191 | 1.114 | 1.166 | 0.9 |
| wdist | 2.927 | 1.202 | 1.179 | 1.0 |

## Python programs



## Questions?

